



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Andrea Ginsburg
DOCKET NO.: 18-43002.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-33-309-027-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Andrea Ginsburg, the appellant(s), by attorney Ciarra Schmidt, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$31,416
IMPR.: \$86,918
TOTAL: \$118,334

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of two improvements with one property identification number. One improvement was a 132-year-old, two-story, multi-family dwelling of masonry construction with 2,968 square feet of living area. It is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. Features of the building include three full bathrooms. The second improvement is a 445 square foot coach house with no garage that is classified as a class 2-05 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. The subject property has a 2,856 square foot site located in Chicago, North Chicago Township, Cook County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five suggested equity comparables for the first improvement. The comparables were improved with a two-story, multi-family dwelling of frame

or masonry and frame construction. The improvements ranged: in age from 102 to 140 years old; in size from 2,898 to 3,076 square feet; and in improvement assessment from \$14.05 to \$18.13 per square foot of living area. The comparable properties had either three or four full bathrooms.

In support of its contention of assessment inequity for the second improvement, the appellant submitted information on five suggested equity comparables. The comparable coach houses range in living square footage between 1,064 and 1,407. Four of the comparable properties had either a 1.5, 2, or 2.5-car garage.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for *both* improvements of \$118,334, an improvement assessment of \$86,918, or \$29.29 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four suggested equity comparables. Each are improved with either a two-story or three-story, multi-family dwelling, of masonry or masonry and frame construction. The improvements ranged: in age from 82 to 150 years old; in size from 2,469 to 3,885 square feet of living area; and in assessment from \$25.00 to \$30.81 per square foot. The comparable properties had either two or three full bathrooms. One comparable property is on the same block as the subject property, two comparable properties are within a quarter of a mile of the subject property, and one is in the same subarea as the subject property.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant *did not meet* this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is not* warranted.

For the first improvement, the Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be *board of review comparables #1, #2, and #4*. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$30.00 to \$30.81 per square foot of living area. The subject's first improvement assessment of \$24.28 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. As for the second improvement, the appellant's comparables are more than double the square footage of the subject coach house. Therefore, the Board finds the comparable coach houses too dissimilar to be used as comparables for an assessment inequity analysis. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant *did not* demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is not* justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

December 20, 2022



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Andrea Ginsburg, by attorney:
Ciarra Schmidt
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.
111 West Washington Street
Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602