

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Amy Lu

DOCKET NO.: 18-42177.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 17-28-320-007-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Amy Lu, the appellant(s), by attorney Mary Kate Gorman, Attorney at Law in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$13,640 **IMPR.:** \$48,736 **TOTAL:** \$62,376

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property contains two residential improvements situated on one parcel. Improvement #1 is a 126-year-old, three-story dwelling of masonry construction containing 3,296 square feet of gross living area. Features of Improvement #1 include a full unfinished basement. Improvement #2 is a 126-year-old, one and one-half-story dwelling of masonry construction containing 1,870 square feet of gross living area. Features of Improvement #2

¹ The appellant cited 3,296 square feet of gross living area of Improvement #1, whereas the board of review cited 3,042. The Board accepts and applies the 3,296 square foot area size in its decision. The Board takes notice of the data submitted in a 2019 appeal on the subject property (docket number 2019-50002). Therein, both the appellant and board of review cited 3,296 square feet of Improvement #1 gross living area. The board of review also informed the Board in its Notes on Appeal that the subject was a multi-improvement property.

include a full basement containing an apartment. The property is situated on 3,100 square feet of land in South Chicago Township, Cook County. It is a Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted descriptive and assessment information on three suggested equity comparable properties for only Improvement #1. The appellant did not submit information on Improvement #2. However, the appellant also cited the total for both improvement assessments when calculating the improvement assessment per square foot of Improvement #1. The appellant requested a total assessment reduction to \$33,976.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$62,376. Improvement #1 has an improvement assessment of \$31,094, or \$9.43 per square foot of living area based on 3,296 square feet of gross living area. Improvement #2 has an improvement assessment of \$17,642, or \$9.43 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted descriptive and assessment information on four suggested equity comparable properties for Improvement #1, and four suggested equity comparable properties for Improvement #2.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparable properties to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of Improvement #1 assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable(s) #1 and #3, and the board of review's comparable(s) #1 and #4. These comparable properties had improvement assessments that ranged from \$6.17 to \$10.57 per square foot of living area. The assessment for Improvement #1 of \$9.43 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparable properties in this record. The Board finds the best evidence of Improvement #2 assessment equity to be the board of review's comparable properties #1, #3 and #4. These properties had improvement assessments that ranged from \$11.22 to \$11.92 per square foot of living area. The assessment for Improvement #2 of \$9.43 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparable properties in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear

1

² Even if 3,042 square feet of gross living area for Improvement #1 were accepted and applied by the Board, the resulting improvement per square foot of living area would be \$10.22, based on the assessment of \$31,094. This per square foot amount would be within the range of the best Improvement #1 comparable properties.

and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and holds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
a R	Sovet Stoffen
Member	Member
	Swah Schley
Member	Member
DISSENTING: CERTIFICATION	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.	
Date:	February 21, 2023
Middle 216	

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Amy Lu, by attorney: Mary Kate Gorman Attorney at Law 10644 South Western Avenue Chicago, IL 60643

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602