

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Ron Plonis

DOCKET NO.: 18-39945.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 31-36-315-002-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ron Plonis, the appellant, by attorney Peter D. Verros, of Verros Berkshire in Oakbrook Terrace; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$2,052 **IMPR.:** \$4,116 **TOTAL:** \$6,168

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 1,372 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 66 years old. Features of the home include a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning and a 2-car garage. The property has a 6,840 square foot site and is located in Park Forest, Rich Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted a property characteristic sheet and grid analysis on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code and from .3 of a mile to 1 mile from the subject property. The comparables were improved with similar class 2-03 dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,092 to 1,694

square feet of living area. The comparables range in age from 63 to 66 years old. Each comparable has a concrete slab foundation. Two comparables have central air conditioning and three comparables have either a 1-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$184 to \$3,331 or from \$0.17 to \$2.03 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the improvement assessment be reduced to \$2,634 or \$1.92 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$6,168. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$4,116 or \$3.00 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code and on the same block as the subject property. The comparables are class 2-03 properties and improved with 1-story dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,378 to 1,493 square feet of living area. The comparables are either 62 or 66 years old. Each comparable has a concrete slab foundation. Two comparables has central air conditioning and three comparables have either a 1.5-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$4,489 to \$4,827 or from \$3.22 to \$3.48 per square foot of living area. The board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable #1, #2 and #3 as these comparables differ in dwelling size when compared to the subject. Furthermore, appellant's comparables #1 and #2 improvement assessments are outliers when compared to all the comparables submitted. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable #4 along with board of review comparables #2 and #4 as these properties lack central air conditioning and/or a garage when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #1 and #3. These comparables have varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject in dwelling size, age, and features. These comparables had improvement assessments of \$4,827 and \$4,807 or \$3.36 and \$3.22 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$4,116 or \$3.00 per square foot of living area falls below the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
	Swah Bolder
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 21, 2023
	111.1016
	Man O
	Cl 1 C1 D . T A 1D 1

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Ron Plonis, by attorney: Peter D. Verros Verros Berkshire 1S660 Midwest Road Suite 300 Oakbrook Terrace, IL 60181

## COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602