

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: James Michalarias DOCKET NO.: 18-39235.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 27-06-410-062-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are James Michalarias, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$2,438 **IMPR.:** \$22,145 **TOTAL:** \$24,583

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction containing 1,849 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 22 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished full basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a two-car attached garage. The property has a 4,876 square foot site and is located in Orland Park, Orland Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-95 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on six equity comparables improved with one-story or two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,835 to 2,010 square feet of living area. The homes were 19 or 21 years old. Each property has a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning,

one fireplace and a two-car attached garage. The comparables are located with .09 of one mile from the subject property. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$19,173 to \$20,379 or from \$9.54 to \$11.02 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$19,008.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$24,583. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$22,145 or \$11.98 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of frame and masonry construction that range in size from 1,430 to 1,849 square feet of living area. The homes were 18 or 22 years old. Each property has a full basement with one having finished area, central air conditioning, one fireplace and two-car garage. The comparables have the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$20,358 to \$23,593 or from \$12.09 to \$14.24 per square foot of living area.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains information on ten comparables submitted by the parties to support their respective positions. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables #4 through #6 and board of review comparables #1 and #2 due to differences from the subject dwelling in size and/or style. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #1 through #3 and board of review comparables #2 and #3 as these properties are improved with dwellings most similar to the subject dwelling in style, size and features. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$19,490 to \$23,593 or from \$10.62 to \$12.76 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$22,145 or \$11.98 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed. The Board finds the assessment of the subject property as established by the board of review is correct and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
·	Chairman
a R	assert Stoffen
Member	Member
DaneDekinie	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 22, 2022
	Middle
-	Clerk of the Property Tay Appeal Roard

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

James Michalarias, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

#### **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602