

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Stratis Anastasiadis DOCKET NO.: 18-38318.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 09-25-424-033-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Stratis Anastasiadis, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$5,062 **IMPR.:** \$45,257 **TOTAL:** \$50,319

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

# **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 1-story multi-family dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 3,454 square feet of building area. The dwelling is approximately 56 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement. The property has a 3,750 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story or 3-story class 2-11 multi-family dwellings of frame or masonry exterior ranging in size from 5,000 to 5,131 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 54 to 64 years old. Three comparables are reported to have a basement with two

finished with apartments and one comparable has a crawl space foundation. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$60,135 to \$61,857 and from \$11.72 to \$12.27 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$41,545 or \$12.03 per square foot of building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$50,319. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$45,257 or \$13.10 per square foot of building area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 2-story or 3-story multi-family class 2-11 buildings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,085 to 3,949 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 47 to 58 years old. Three comparables are reported to each have a basement with two finished with apartments and one comparable has a concrete slab foundation. One comparable has a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$41,197 to \$53,347 or from \$13.31 to \$13.75 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board finds none of the comparables in this record are 1-story buildings, like the subject. Nevertheless, the Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables which are considerably larger buildings, over 44% larger, when compared to the subject. The Board also gives less weight to board of review comparables #1 and #2 due to differences in garage amenity or foundation type when compared to the subject. The two remaining board of review comparables have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in location, age, dwelling size, and other features. These comparables have improvement assessments of \$49,833 and \$51,480 or for \$13.31 and \$13.75 per square foot of building area. The subject property's improvement assessment of \$45,257 or \$13.10 per square foot of building area falls below the improvement assessments of the two best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering appropriate adjustments to the two best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
C. R.	asort Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 20, 2024
	111.1016
	Man O
	Cl 1 C4 D 4 T A 1D 1

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Stratis Anastasiadis, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602