



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Shaker Asfour
DOCKET NO.: 18-32747.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 24-31-401-016-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Shaker Asfour, the appellant(s), by attorney Scott L. David, of Much Shelist in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$6,906
IMPR.: \$41,596
TOTAL: \$48,502

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of an 11,050 parcel of land improved with a 16-year-old, two-story, frame and masonry, single-family dwelling containing 3,617 square feet of building area. The property is located in Palos Heights, Worth Township, Cook County and is a class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation and equity. In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant submitted copies of the settlement statement and a special warranty deed which disclosed that the subject property was purchased on May 4, 2018 for a price of \$417,000 or \$115.29 per square foot of building area. The settlement statement discloses that real estate broker fees were paid and the petition discloses that the subject was listed on the market for one year.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted four comparables. The comparables are described as two-story, masonry or frame and masonry, single-family dwellings. They range: in age from 16 to 18 years; in size from 3,212 to 3,640 square feet of building area; and in improvement assessment from \$9.93 to \$10.27 per square foot of building area. These comparables included two recent sales. Comparables #1 and #3 sold in January 2018 and June 2017 for \$121.50 and \$121.77 per square foot of building area, respectively.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$48,502 which reflects a market value of \$485,020 or \$134.09 per square foot of building area when using the level of assessment for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted a supplemental brief asserting that the subject property was purchased out of foreclosure and sold to the appellant for a value that was not reflective of the market. To support this, the board of review included a copy of the recorder of deeds printout showing the buyer was issued a special warranty deed.

In addition, the board of review included data on four sales comparables. The sales comparables are described as two-story, masonry or frame and masonry, single-family dwellings. They range in age from 16 to 18 years and in size from 3,232 to 3,592 per square foot of building area. They sold from April 2017 to October 2018 for prices ranging from \$142.33 and \$163.68 per square foot of building area.

The board of review also submitted four equity comparables. The comparables are described as two-story, masonry or frame and masonry, single-family dwellings. They range: in age from 12 to 16 years; in size from 3,421 to 4,568 square feet of building area; and in improvement assessment from \$13.15 to \$16.67 per square foot of building area.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c).

In addressing the appellant's market value argument, the Board finds, based on the appellant's evidence and the board of review's brief and supporting evidence, that the sale of the subject in May 2018 was a "compulsory sale." A "compulsory sale" is defined as

- (i) the sale of real estate for less than the amount owed to the mortgage lender or mortgagor, if the lender or mortgagor has agreed to the sale, commonly referred to as a "short sale" and
- (ii) the first sale of real estate owned by a financial institution as a result of a judgment of foreclosure, transfer pursuant to a deed in

lieu of foreclosure, or consent judgment, occurring after the foreclosure proceeding is complete.

35 ILCS 200/1-23.

Real property in Illinois must be assessed at its fair cash value, which can only be estimated absent any compulsion on either party.

Illinois law requires that all real property be valued at its fair cash value, estimated at the price it would bring at a fair voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is likewise ready, willing, and able to buy, but is not forced to do so.

Board of Educ. of Meridian Community Unit School Dist. No. 223 v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 961 N.E.2d 794, 802, 356 Ill.Dec. 405, 413 (2d Dist. 2011) (citing Chrysler Corp. v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 69 Ill.App.3d 207, 211, 387 N.E.2d 351 (2d Dist. 1979)).

However, the Illinois General Assembly recently provided very clear guidance for the Board with regards to compulsory sales. Section 16-183 of the Illinois Property Tax Code states as follows:

The Property Tax Appeal Board shall consider compulsory sales of comparable properties for the purpose of revising and correcting assessments, including those compulsory sales of comparable properties submitted by the taxpayer.

35 ILCS 200/16-183. Therefore, the Board is statutorily required to consider compulsory sales of comparable properties.

In the instant case, the Board finds that the board of review presented four sales comparables and the appellant included two recent sales in his evidence. The Board finds these comparables similar to the subject. These comparables sold from April 2017 to October 2018 for prices ranging from \$121.50 and \$163.68 per square foot of building area. In comparison, the subject sold for \$115.29 per square foot of building area which is below the range of comparables. Therefore, the Board finds the subject's sale is not reflective of the market. The appellant failed to submit any evidence detailing the subject's advertisement on the open market. The subject's market value based on its assessment is \$134.09 per square foot of building area which is within the range of the comparables in the record. Therefore, the Board finds the appellant has not proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject is overvalued and a reduction in the assessment is not justified.

The taxpayer also contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b).

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1 and #2 and the board of review's comparables #1, #2, and #4. These comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$9.65 to \$16.67 per square foot of building area. The remaining comparables were given less weight due to differences in construction. In comparison the subject's improvement assessment of \$11.50 per square foot of building area is within the range of the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's improvements is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 21, 2022



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Shaker Asfour, by attorney:
Scott L. David
Much Shelist
191 North Wacker Drive
Suite 1800
Chicago, IL 60606-1615

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602