



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Israel Warens
DOCKET NO.: 18-31726.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-04-204-024-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Israel Warens, the appellant, by attorney Timothy M. Hughes, of Lavelle Legal Services, Ltd. in Schaumburg; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$5,670
IMPR.: \$42,658
TOTAL: \$48,328

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,707 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 64 years old. Features of the home include a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning and a two-car garage.¹ The property has a 4,200 square foot site located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-05 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on sixteen equity

¹ The parties disagree on whether the subject property has a basement. The appellant has indicated in the Section III of the Residential Appeal petition that the subject property has a concrete slab foundation. The Board will use the appellant's description of the subject property for purposes of this analysis.

comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with class 2-05 dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,238 to 2,187 square feet of living area and in age from 64 to 105 years old. Fourteen comparables each have a full or partial basement, four of which have finished area. Twelve comparables each have central air conditioning, eleven comparables have either one or two fireplaces and fourteen comparables have from a one-car to a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$25,277 to \$44,666 or from \$17.17 to \$21.37 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$34,140 or \$20.00 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$48,328. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$42,658 or \$24.99 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code and on the same block as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story class 2-05 dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,536 to 1,904 square feet of living area and in age from 69 to 77 years old. The comparables each have a full or partial basement, three of which have finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one fireplace and a one-car or a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$41,457 to \$50,096 or from \$26.31 to \$30.80 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the evidence in the record does not support a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The parties submitted twenty suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their older ages and/or dissimilar dwelling sizes when compared to the subject. The Board gives less weight to the board of review comparable #4 due to its older age when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review's three remaining comparables which are similar to the subject in age, dwelling size and some features, as well as being located on the same block as the subject. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$45,727 to \$50,096 or from \$26.31 to \$30.80 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$42,658 or \$24.99 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds the record

does not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment based on inequity is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 19, 2022



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Israel Warens, by attorney:
Timothy M. Hughes
Lavelle Legal Services, Ltd.
1933 North Meacham Road
Suite 600
Schaumburg, IL 60173

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602