

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Matthew Seifert
DOCKET NO.: 18-31338.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-35-232-015-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Matthew Seifert, the appellant, by attorney Spiro Zarkos, of Verros Berkshire, PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$4,350 **IMPR.:** \$30,970 **TOTAL:** \$35,320

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story, multi-family building of frame exterior construction with 2,764 square feet of building area. The building is approximately 140 years old, has a full basement with an apartment, and a fireplace. The property has a 3,000 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the subject's improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property and are from 1.2 to 1.7 miles from the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-11 buildings with frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,480 to 2,795 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 106 to 120 years old. One comparable

has a concrete slab foundation, and three comparables have full unfinished basements. Three comparables have either a 1-car or a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$18,418 to \$21,009 or from \$7.42 to \$7.55 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$20,730 or \$7.50 per square foot of building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$35,320. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$30,970 or \$11.20 per square foot of building area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code and a .25 of a mile of the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-11, two-story buildings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 2,118 to 2,798 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 110 to 125 years old. One comparable has a concrete slab foundation, and three comparables have basements, one of which has an apartment. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$28,124 to \$35,914 or from \$12.45 to \$13.71 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the evidence in the record does not support a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables because their location is more than a mile away from the subject property. Less weight is also given by the board to both parties' comparable #1 which lacks a basement, unlike the subject, and the board of review comparable #4 due to its smaller dwelling size when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables #2 and #3 which are similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size, and foundation. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$34,835 and \$31,670 or \$12.45 and \$13.71 per square foot of building area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$30,970 or \$11.20 per square foot of building area falls below the range established by the two best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
<del></del>	Chairman
a R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

#### **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	April 19, 2022
	Michel 215
	Clerk of the Property Tay Appeal Roard

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Matthew Seifert, by attorney: Spiro Zarkos Verros Berkshire, PC 225 West Randolph Suite 2950 Chicago, IL 60606

## **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602