



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: 3950 N. Hoyne Condo Assn
DOCKET NO.: 18-28945.001-R-2 through 18-28945.012-R-2
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are 3950 N. Hoyne Condo Assn, the appellant(s), by attorney Ciarra Schmidt, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
18-28945.001-R-2	14-19-105-036-1001	6,435	81,230	\$ 87,665
18-28945.002-R-2	14-19-105-036-1002	6,435	81,230	\$ 87,665
18-28945.003-R-2	14-19-105-036-1003	4,092	51,655	\$ 55,747
18-28945.004-R-2	14-19-105-036-1004	4,092	51,655	\$ 55,747
18-28945.005-R-2	14-19-105-036-1005	4,825	60,902	\$ 65,727
18-28945.006-R-2	14-19-105-036-1006	4,825	60,902	\$ 65,727
18-28945.007-R-2	14-19-105-036-1007	145	1,834	\$ 1,979
18-28945.008-R-2	14-19-105-036-1008	145	1,834	\$ 1,979
18-28945.009-R-2	14-19-105-036-1009	145	1,834	\$ 1,979
18-28945.010-R-2	14-19-105-036-1010	145	1,834	\$ 1,979
18-28945.011-R-2	14-19-105-036-1011	145	1,834	\$ 1,979
18-28945.012-R-2	14-19-105-036-1012	145	1,834	\$ 1,979

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) after receiving a decision from the Cook County Board of Review. The instant appeal challenges the assessment for tax year 2018. The Property Tax Appeal Board (the “Board”) finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject consists of six condominium units and six parking spaces with a 100.00% ownership interest in the common elements. The property is located in Lakeview Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. No evidence was submitted as to whether any of the subject units are owner-occupied.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted an analysis showing that all of the subject units and parking spaces sold from July 2015 to September 2015 for an aggregate price of \$4,301,500. The appellant deducted 10.00% from the sale price to account for personal property. The appellant requested that the Board apply the 2016 Illinois Department of Revenue median level of assessment for class 2 property in Lakeview Township of 8.23%. The appellant's evidence states that a "Copy of 2016 Sales-Ratio Study attached." However, the Board notes that no such document is included in the appellant's evidence. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's assessment be reduced to \$318,612.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing that the total assessment for the subject is \$430,844. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$4,308,440 when applying the 2018 statutory level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10.00%.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted an identical analysis as that submitted by the appellant, except that the board of review did not deduct 10.00% from the aggregate sale price to account for personal property.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

"Real property taxes . . . which are authorized by law to be assessed against and levied upon real property shall be assessed against and levied upon each unit and the owner's corresponding percentage of ownership in the common elements as a tract, and not upon the property as a whole." 765 ILCS 605/10(a).

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be all of the sale comparables submitted by the parties. Thus, the Board will take the sum of the sale prices of the most similar sales to arrive at a total market value for the subject building of \$4,301,500. The subject's current assessment reflects a market value above the market value reflected by the most similar sale comparables. The Board finds that there was no evidence submitted to show that personal property was included in any of the sale transactions, and that no deduction is warranted for this factor. Additionally, the Board finds that it is not authorized to apply an assessment level to the subject property other than the assessment level found in the Cook County Real Property Assessment

Classification Ordinance. Insofar as the appellant relies upon 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2)(A), the Board finds that this rule requires annual sales ratio studies from the previous three years, and that the appellant's evidence did not include any evidence on this point. Therefore, the Board finds that the appellant has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the subject is overvalued, and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 19, 2022



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

3950 N. Hoyne Condo Assn, by attorney:
Ciarra Schmidt
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.
111 West Washington Street
Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602