



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Yezdaan Baber  
DOCKET NO.: 18-28818.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 14-28-312-064-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Yezdaan Baber, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$34,020  
**IMPR.:** \$289,184  
**TOTAL:** \$323,204

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a three-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 6,084 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 7 years old. Features of the home include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, four fireplaces, and a three-car garage. The property has a 4,725 square foot site located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-09 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-09 dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 5,400 to 8,736 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 11 to 19

years old and have full basements with two comparables having finished area. The comparables have central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces, and a two-car or a three-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$253,383 to \$399,401 or from \$44.83 to \$46.92 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$278,769 or \$45.82 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$323,204. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$289,184 or \$47.53 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on two equity comparables that are located within a different neighborhood code than the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-09, two-story or three-story dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction with 5,023 or 5,071 square feet of living area. The dwellings are 12 or 130 years old and have full finished basements. The comparables have central air conditioning and one or two fireplaces. One comparable has a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments of \$271,663 and \$297,362 or \$53.57 and \$59.20 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted five suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparable #2 due to its significantly larger dwelling size and the board of review comparables due to their different neighborhood code and/or significantly older age when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparables #1 and #3 because they are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject and are more similar to the subject in age and dwelling size with varying degrees of similarities in features. These two comparables have improvement assessments of \$261,778 and \$253,383 or \$44.83 and \$46.92 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$289,184 or \$47.53 per square foot of living area falls above the two best comparables in this record, which is reasonable when considering the subject's superior attributes including its newer age, larger dwelling size, and other amenities. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment based on inequity is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 21, 2021



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Yezdaan Baber, by attorney:  
Robert Rosenfeld  
Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC  
33 North Dearborn Street  
Suite 1850  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602