

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Dominik Margosiak DOCKET NO.: 18-27116.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 19-32-422-052-1010

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Dominik Margosiak, the appellant(s), by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$1,159 **IMPR.:** \$5,991 **TOTAL:** \$7,150

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject is a residential condominium unit with a 9.6260% interest in the common elements. It is one of eleven units in a 42-year-old, masonry residential condominium building. The building is situated on an 18,538 square foot site and is located in Burbank, Stickney Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance

The appellant, via counsel, submitted evidence before the Board arguing overvaluation based on the sale of 4 of 11 units in the subject's building, one of which was the sale of the subject unit. These sales occurred between October 2014 and March 2018. The evidence disclosed that the aggregate purchase price for the units sold was \$220,252. The appellant than deducted a personal property allocation of \$5,025 to reflect an adjusted consideration of \$215,227. The appellant then

divided the adjusted market value by the percentage of interest of units sold, or 36.542, and thus concluded an adjusted market value for the subject building of \$588,985. Based on a 9.626% ownership factor, the resulting market value was concluded to be \$56,696 for the subject unit. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's total assessment to \$5,670 when applying a 10% level of assessment. In support of this claim, the appellant included printouts from the Multiple Listing Service for all sales.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's total assessment of \$12,008. The assessment reflects a market value of \$120,080 for the subject when applying the assessment level of 10% as established by the Cook County Real Property Classification Ordinance.

The board of review also submitted a memo and sales analysis that relied on the two recent sales in the subject's building, one of which was the subject unit. These two sales were also part of the appellant's analysis. Total consideration from the two sales was calculated at \$112,002. The board of review then divided the market value by the percentage of interest of units sold, or 17.29%, and thus concluded a market value for the subject's entire building of \$647,784. Based on a 9.626% percentage of ownership factor, the resulting market value for the subject unit is \$62,356.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board was provided with two identical sales from both parties, plus two additional 2014 sales submitted by the appellant. All sales were for units located in the subject's building. The Board finds the appellant used a personal property allocation in their analysis, however, there was no evidence in the record to support the use of this personal property deduction. Therefore, the Board finds this argument is without merit. Additionally, the Board finds the use of sales from 2014 to be too remote in time to be reflective of the subject's market value as of January 1, 2018.

The Board finds that the sale of the subject in March 2018 for \$71,501 was a "compulsory sale" based on the parties' documentation. A "compulsory sale" is defined as:

(i) the sale of real estate for less than the amount owed to the mortgage lender or mortgagor, if the lender or mortgagor has agreed to the sale, commonly referred to as a "short sale" and (ii) the first sale of real estate owned by a financial institution as a result of a judgment of foreclosure, transfer pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure, or consent judgment, occurring after the foreclosure proceeding is complete.

35 ILCS 200/1-23.

Real property in Illinois must be assessed at its fair cash value, which can only be estimated absent any compulsion on either party.

Illinois law requires that all real property be valued at its fair cash value, estimated at the price it would bring at a fair voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is likewise ready, willing, and able to buy, but is not forced to do so.

Bd. of Educ. of Meridian Cmty. Unit Sch. Dist. No. 223 v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 2012 IL App (2d) 100068, ¶ 36 (citing Chrysler Corp. v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 69 Ill.App.3d 207, 211 (2d Dist. 1979)).

In considering the compulsory sale of the subject, the Board may look to the market value evidence submitted by the parties to determine whether the purchase price was at the subject's fair market value. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). Such evidence may consist of the sales of comparables properties. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c)(4); see, Calumet Transfer, LLC v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 401 Ill.App.3d 652, 655-56 (1st Dist. 2010).

In the instant appeal, both parties submitted the same additional sale comparable that contained property characteristics similar to the subject and sold at a time proximate to the January 1, 2018 valuation date. The subject's current assessment reflects a market value of \$120,080 which is well above the subject's recent sale price of \$71,501. Accordingly, the Board finds that the appellant has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the subject is overvalued, and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
a de la companya della companya dell	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Bolley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	December 20, 2022
	Middle 14
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Cierk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Dominik Margosiak, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602