



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Roger & Alison Rhoten  
DOCKET NO.: 18-26435.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 18-05-309-037-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Roger & Alison Rhoten, the appellants, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$6,955  
**IMPR.:** \$102,713  
**TOTAL:** \$109,668

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 3,949 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 12 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a 3-car garage. The property has a 10,700 square foot site and is located in Western Springs, Lyons Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-08 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellants contend improvement assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellants submitted information on nine equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,990 to 4,677 square feet of living area. The comparables range in age from 1 to 18

years old. Each comparable has a full basement with four having finished area. The appellants' attorney reports "1" for central air conditioning within the grid analysis for the subject and each comparable. The Board has determined "1" signifies "Yes" based upon the description of the subject property provided by the board of review. Seven comparables each have one to three fireplaces. Each comparable has a 2-car to a 3-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$89,930 to \$120,539 or from \$22.54 to \$25.77 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$96,870 or \$24.53 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$109,668. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$102,713 or \$26.01 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,910 to 4,225 square feet of living area. The comparables are either 10 or 12 years old. Each comparable has a full basement, one of which has finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$101,895 to \$129,697 or from \$26.01 to \$30.70 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayers contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted thirteen suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellants' comparables #1, #2, #3, #5, #6 and #7 and the board of review's comparable #3 due to their finished basements and/or larger dwelling sizes when compared to the subject property.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables as they are similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size, basement, and/or features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$89,930 to \$129,697 or from \$22.54 to \$30.70 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$102,713 or \$26.01 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellants did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that the properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

December 15, 2020



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Roger & Alison Rhoten, by attorney:  
George N. Reveliotis  
Reveliotis Law, P.C.  
1030 Higgins Road  
Suite 101  
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602