



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Peter and Joan Papadopoulos  
DOCKET NO.: 18-26283.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 04-25-402-020-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Peter and Joan Papadopoulos, the appellants, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$11,400  
**IMPR.:** \$51,856  
**TOTAL:** \$63,256

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 1.5-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 3,026 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 55 years old. Features of the home include a crawl space foundation, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 2.5-car garage. The property has an 11,400 square foot site and is located in Wilmette, Northfield Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-04 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellants contend assessment inequity, with respect to the improvement assessment, as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellants submitted information on five equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 1-story or 1.5-1.9 story, class 2-04 dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,304 to 2,727 square feet of living area.

The homes range in age from 57 to 63 years old. Two comparables have basements with one having finished area, two comparables have concrete slab foundations and one comparable has a crawl space foundation. Each comparable has a 2-car or a 2.5-car garage and one or two fireplaces. Three comparables have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$32,169 to \$43,796 or from \$13.58 to \$16.65 square feet of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$46,411.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$63,256. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$51,856 or \$17.14 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 1-story or 1.5-story, class 2-04 dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,973 to 3,605 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 47 to 58 years old. Three comparables have basements, one with finished area and one comparable has a crawl space foundation. Each comparable has a 2-car garage, central air conditioning and one or three fireplaces. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$40,940 to \$73,535 or from \$20.29 to \$20.75 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellants contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellants' comparables #1, #4 and #5 along with board of review comparables #1, #3 and #5 due to significantly different dwelling sizes compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellants' comparables #2 and #3 along with board of review comparable #2 which are similar to the subject in location and size. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$43,021 to \$54,727 or from \$16.06 to \$20.74 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$51,856 or \$17.14 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences with the subject, the Board finds the appellants did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 20, 2021



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Peter and Joan Papadopoulos, by attorney:  
George N. Reveliotis  
Reveliotis Law, P.C.  
1030 Higgins Road  
Suite 101  
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602