

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Daniel Vadasseril
DOCKET NO.: 18-24706.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 04-32-200-034-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Daniel Vadasseril, the appellant, by attorney Spiro Zarkos, of Verros Berkshire, PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$10,845 **IMPR.:** \$29,349 **TOTAL:** \$40,194

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 2,174 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 33 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a two-car garage. The property has a 10,581 square foot site located in Glenview, Northfield Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the as the basis of the appeal. In support of the this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with class 2-78 dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,277 to 2,450 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from

42 to 47 years old and have partial basements, one of which has finished area. Three comparables have central air conditioning and three comparables each have one fireplace. Each comparable has a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$30,621 to \$32,490 or from \$13.26 to \$13.35 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$28,936 or \$13.31 per square foot of living area.

The appellant's submission included a copy of the "Cook County Board of Review" final decision dated January 4, 2019 disclosing the subject has a total assessment of \$45,194. The petition filed by the appellant also depicted that the subject has an improvement assessment of \$34,349 or \$15.80 per square foot of living area.

The board of review did not submit any evidence in support of its assessment of the subject property or to refute the appellant's argument.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the evidence in the record supports a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The Board finds the only evidence of assessment equity was submitted by the appellant. The Board gives less weight to comparable #4 as it has finished basement area and central air conditioning, both of which the subject lacks. The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be comparables #1, #2 and #3 as they are relatively similar to the subject in age, dwelling size, and some features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$30,621 to \$32,490 or from \$13.26 to \$13.33 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$34,349 or \$15.80 falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record and is excessive. Based on this record and after considering adjustments for differences to the best comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

The Board finds the board of review did not submit any evidence in support of its assessment of the subject property or to refute the appellant's argument as required by section 1910.40(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board and is found to be in default pursuant to section 1910.69(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board. After analysis of the appellant's data, the Board finds the appellant's evidence indicates that the subject's improvement assessment was not inequitably assessed and a reduction is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
Chair	rman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	December 21, 2021
	Middle 14

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Daniel Vadasseril, by attorney: Spiro Zarkos Verros Berkshire, PC 225 West Randolph Suite 2950 Chicago, IL 60606

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602