



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Kara Camille Paul
DOCKET NO.: 18-24152.001-R-1 through 18-24152.002-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kara Camille Paul, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
18-24152.001-R-1	09-17-324-003-0000	3,652	27,177	\$30,829
18-24152.002-R-1	09-17-324-004-0000	1,863	0	\$1,863

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 2,088 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 77 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 6,958 square foot site located in Des Plaines, Maine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-05 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on nine equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with class 2-05 dwellings of frame, stucco, or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,607 to 2,145 square feet of living area. The

dwellings range in age from 63 to 108 years old. Two comparables have either a crawl space foundation or a concrete slab foundation, and seven comparables have full basements with one comparable having finished area. Seven comparables each have central air conditioning. Five comparables each have one or two fireplaces. Six comparables have either a 1-car, a 1.5-car, or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$17,852 to \$24,035 or from \$10.20 to \$12.01 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$24,052 or \$11.52 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$30,829. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$27,177 or \$13.02 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with class 2-05 or class 2-07, two-story dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,052 to 1,855 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 61 to 95 years old and have partial or full basements with two comparables having finished area. Two comparables each have central air conditioning. One comparable has a fireplace. Each comparable has either a 1-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$15,506 to \$26,438 or from \$14.25 to \$15.94 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted thirteen suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables #3, #4, #5, #6, and #9 due to their considerably older ages or lack of a basement when compared to the subject. The Board also gives less weight to the board of review comparables #1, #3 and #4 due to their considerably smaller dwelling sizes when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties' remaining comparables. These comparables are relatively similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size, and most features. These five comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$17,852 to \$26,438 or from \$10.21 to \$14.25 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$27,177 or \$13.02 per square foot of living area falls above the range of the best comparables in this record on an overall basis and within the range on a per-square-foot basis, which is logical considering the subject dwelling's superior attributes including its age, dwelling

size, and/or other amenities. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: January 18, 2022



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Kara Camille Paul, by attorney:
George N. Reveliotis
Reveliotis Law, P.C.
1030 Higgins Road
Suite 101
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602