

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Dragan Kokoska DOCKET NO.: 18-23361.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 23-13-110-015-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Dragan Kokoska, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$5,640 **IMPR.:** \$11,946 **TOTAL:** \$17,586

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a multi-level dwelling of frame and masonry construction with 1,278 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 46 years old. Features of the home include a finished partial basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 2-car garage. The property has a 9,024 square foot site and is located in Palos Hills, Palos Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-34 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject.<sup>1</sup> The comparables had lots ranging in size from 8,500 to 10,715 square feet of land area that were improved with class 2-34 dwellings of frame and

PTAB/MTC/5-21

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The appellant's sales grid listed the same property as comparables #1 and #3.

masonry construction. The homes ranged in size from 1,268 to 1,444 square feet of living area and were either 45 or 46 years old. The comparables had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables sold from May 2017 to May 2018 for prices ranging from \$165,000 to \$207,500 or from \$129.11 to \$143.70 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$17,586.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$20,605. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$206,050 or \$161.23 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables had lots ranging in size from 8,500 to 10,722 square feet of land area that were improved with multi-level dwellings of frame or frame and masonry construction. The homes ranged in size from 1,097 to 1,278 square feet of living area and ranged in age from 45 to 49 years old. The comparables had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables sold in either May or June 2016 for prices ranging from \$250,000 to \$315,000 or from \$203.36 to \$250.60 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted a total of seven comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the board of review's comparable sales due to their sale dates occurring greater than 18 months prior to the January 1, 2018 assessment date at issue. The Board finds the appellant's comparable sales were most similar to the subject in location, style, age, size and most features. These sales also sold proximate in time to the January 1, 2018 assessment date at issue. The appellant's comparables sold from May 2017 to May 2018 for prices ranging from \$165,000 to \$207,500 or from \$129.11 to \$143.70 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$206,050 or \$161.23 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record on a total market value basis but above the range on a per square foot basis. After considering adjustments to the best comparable sales for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's assessment is not supported and a reduction in the subject's assessment commensurate with the appellant's request is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

22	· Jan
Ch	airman
R	Sovet Stoffen
Member	Member
Dane De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 18, 2021
	111.10)16
	Manon
	Clark of the Decree to Tark Associate Decree

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Dragan Kokoska, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

## **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602