

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Victor Dicosola
DOCKET NO.:	18-23359.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	12-13-117-003-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Victor Dicosola, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$5,092
IMPR.:	\$21,404
TOTAL:	\$26,496

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of masonry construction with 1,352 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 52 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a 2-car garage. The property has a 5,360 square foot site and is located in Norridge, Norwood Park Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on both overvaluation and assessment inequity with respect to the subject's improvement. In support of the overvaluation argument the appellant submitted a grid analysis containing four comparable sales that were located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables had lots ranging in size from 3,218 to 5,360 square feet of land area that were improved with class 2-03 dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry construction. The homes ranged in size from 1,352 to 1,542 square feet of living area and ranged

PTAB/MTC/5-21

in age from 54 to 92 years old. The comparables had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables sold from August 2016 to August 2018 for prices ranging from \$161,650 to \$265,000 or from \$111.10 to \$184.91 per square foot of living area, including land.

In support of the assessment inequity argument, the appellant submitted a grid analysis containing nine comparable properties that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables were improved with 1-story dwellings of frame or masonry construction that ranged in size from 1,248 to 1,476 square feet of living area and ranged in age from 50 to 56 years old. The comparables had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$13,740 to \$18,834 or from \$11.01 to \$13.83 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$21,600.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$26,496. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$264,960 or \$195.98 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$21,404 or \$15.83 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted a sales grid analysis and a separate equity grid analysis. The sales grid contained information on four comparable sales that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables had lots ranging in size from 3,780 to 5,360 square feet of land area that were improved with 1-story dwellings of masonry construction. The homes ranged in size from 1,104 to 1,290 square feet of living area and ranged in age from 56 to 58 years old. The comparables had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables sold from March 2016 to March 2017 for prices ranging from \$273,900 to \$308,000 or from \$234.10 to \$274.65 per square foot of living area, including land.

The board of review's equity grid contained four comparable properties that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables were 1-story dwellings of masonry construction that contained either 1,248 or 1,274 square feet of living area and were either 54 or 56 years old. The comparables had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$20,557 to \$21,528 or from \$16.28 to \$17.25 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends in part that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e).

Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable sales #2, #3 and #4, as well as the board of review's comparable sales #1 and #2, due to their differences in age and/or their sale dates occurring greater than 16 months prior to the January 1, 2018 assessment date at issue. The Board finds the parties' remaining comparable sales were most similar to the subject in location, style, size, age and features. They sold from January 2017 to August 2018 for prices ranging from \$250,000 to \$306,500 or from \$184.91 to \$237.60 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$264,960 or \$195.98 square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range established by the best comparable sales in the record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's assessment is not justified based on overvaluation.

The taxpayer also contends improvement assessment inequity as an alternative basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 III.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 III.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted thirteen equity comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The Board finds all of the parties' equity comparables were similar to the subject in location, style, age, size and features. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$13,740 to \$21,528 or from \$11.01 to \$17.25 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$21,404 or \$15.83 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the equity comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment based on assessment uniformity is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:** 

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 18, 2021

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

# AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## APPELLANT

Victor Dicosola, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

## COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602