

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Sam Stilp

DOCKET NO.: 18-22461.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 05-27-405-009-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Sam Stilp, the appellant(s), by attorney Andrew J. Rukavina, of The Tax Appeal Company in Mundelein; the Cook County Board of Review; the New Trier H.S.D. #203, and Village of Wilmette, and Wilmette Park District, and Wilmette S.D. #39, intervenors, by attorney Scott L. Ginsburg of Robbins Schwartz Nicholas Lifton Taylor in Chicago.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$37,572 **IMPR.:** \$79,428 **TOTAL:** \$117,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 18,786 square foot parcel of land improved with a 107-year old, two-story, frame and masonry, single-family dwelling containing 3,965 square feet of building area. The property is located in Wilmette, New Trier Township, Cook County and is classified as a class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted five comparables. The comparables are described as two-story, masonry or frame and masonry, single-family dwellings. They range: in age from 90 to 95; in size from

3,060 to 4,481 square feet of building area; and in improvement assessment from \$2.39 to \$19.97 per square foot of building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment of \$117,000 with an improvement assessment of \$79,428 or \$20.03 per square foot of building area.

In support of the current assessment, the board of review submitted four comparables. These properties are described as two-story, frame or frame and masonry, single-family dwellings. They range: in age from 103 to 119 years; in size from 3,030 to 3,900 square feet of building area; and in improvement assessment from \$27.43 to \$49.96 per square foot of building area.

The intervenor submitted five comparables. These properties are described as two-story, frame and masonry, single-family dwellings. They range: in age from 90 to 108 years; in size from 3,728 to 3,913 square feet of building area; and in improvement assessment from \$19.58 to \$29.87 per square foot of building area. The intervenor also submitted a grid addressing the appellant's comparables.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b).

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #1, the board of review's comparables #1 and #4, and the intervenor's comparables. These comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$19.58 to \$29.87 per square foot of building area. The remaining comparables were given less weight due to differences in construction and/or size. In comparison the subject's improvement assessment of \$20.03 per square foot of building area is within the range of the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's improvements is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
a R	asont Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
CERTIFICATION	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.	
Date:	November 16, 2021

# IMPORTANT NOTICE

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

#### PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Sam Stilp, by attorney: Andrew J. Rukavina The Tax Appeal Company 28643 North Sky Crest Drive Mundelein, IL 60060

#### **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602

# **INTERVENOR**

New Trier H.S.D. #203, by attorney: Scott L. Ginsburg Robbins Schwartz Nicholas Lifton Taylor 55 West Monroe Street Suite 800 Chicago, IL 60603

Village of Wilmette, by attorney: Scott L. Ginsburg Robbins Schwartz Nicholas Lifton Taylor 55 West Monroe Street Suite 800 Chicago, IL 60603

Wilmette Park District, by attorney: Scott L. Ginsburg Robbins Schwartz Nicholas Lifton Taylor 55 West Monroe Street Suite 800 Chicago, IL 60603

Wilmette S.D. #39, by attorney: Scott L. Ginsburg Robbins Schwartz Nicholas Lifton Taylor 55 West Monroe Street Suite 800 Chicago, IL 60603