



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Richard Czerniejewski
DOCKET NO.: 18-21175.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-07-421-014-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Richard Czerniejewski, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$21,548
IMPR.: \$62,344
TOTAL: \$83,892

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry construction with 2,744 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 89 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a two-car garage. The property has a 13,468 square foot site and is located in Glencoe, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables improved with class 2-06 two-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,649 to 4,055 square feet of living area. The homes are either 88 or 89 years old. Each property has a full or partial unfinished basement, one fireplace, and a two-car

garage. One comparable has central air conditioning. Each comparable has the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$53,080 to \$82,398 or from \$19.81 to \$20.32 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$55,045.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$83,892. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$62,344 or \$22.72 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,631 to 2,978 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 76 to 88 years old. Each property has a full or partial basement with two having a formal recreation room, one or two fireplaces, and a 1-car or a 2-car garage. One comparable has central air conditioning. Each comparable has the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$67,617 to \$80,168 or from \$25.70 to \$26.94 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted seven comparables to support their respective positions that have the same classification code and neighborhood code as the subject property. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables #1 and #3 due to differences from the subject dwelling in size as these comparables are approximately 16% and 48% larger than the subject dwelling. The remaining comparables are relatively similar to the subject in size, age and features with the exception that board of review comparables #1, #2 and #3 do not have central air conditioning whereas the subject property has central air conditioning, which indicates that an upward adjustment to the comparables would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject property. Conversely, board of review comparables #1 and #3 have finished basement area while the subject has an unfinished basement, which indicates that a downward adjustment to these two comparables would be needed to make them more equivalent to the subject property. These five comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$53,080 to \$80,168 or from \$20.04 to \$26.94 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$62,344 or \$22.72 per square foot of living area falls well within the range established by the best comparables in this record and is below each of the board of review comparables. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

October 19, 2021



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Richard Czerniejewski, by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC
33 North Dearborn Street
Suite 1850
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602