



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Lawrence Feis  
DOCKET NO.: 18-20937.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 05-29-102-044-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Lawrence Feis, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$60,008  
**IMPR.:** \$134,194  
**TOTAL:** \$194,202

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 5,422 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 63 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, three fireplaces and a two-car attached garage. The property has a 42,863 square foot site and is located in Winnetka, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-09 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables improved with class 2-09 dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 5,664 to 5,922 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 44 to 93 years old. Each property has a full or partial basement with two having

recreation rooms, central air conditioning, and two to four fireplaces. The comparables also have a 2-car, 3-car, or 4-car garage. Each comparable has the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$114,010 to \$120,453 or from \$20.13 to \$20.39 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$110,121.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$194,202. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$134,194 or \$24.75 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables improved with two-story class 2-09 dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 5,110 to 5,661 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 47 to 58 years old. Each property has a full or partial basement with two having recreation rooms, central air conditioning, and a 2.5-car, 3-car, or 3.5-car garage. Three comparables have one or two fireplaces. Each comparable has the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$144,050 to \$175,420 or from \$27.67 to \$30.99 per square foot of living area.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains eight comparables submitted by the parties to support their respective positions. The comparables all have the same classification code and neighborhood code as the subject property. Each property is improved with a home that is similar to the subject in style, size, and most features. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables #1 and #2 due to the fact these homes are older than the subject dwelling and not as similar in age to the subject as the remaining comparables. The Board gives most weight to appellant's comparables #3 and #4 as well as the four comparables submitted by the board of review, which are most similar to the subject in age. These comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$117,412 to \$175,420 or from \$20.39 to \$30.99 per square foot of living area. Board of review comparable #4 is the overall best comparable with respect to size, age, and features with an improvement assessment of \$160,582 or \$29.47 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$134,194 or \$24.75 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record and well supported by the overall best equity comparable. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

October 19, 2021



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Lawrence Feis, by attorney:  
Robert Rosenfeld  
Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC  
33 North Dearborn Street  
Suite 1850  
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602