



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Michael Kaufman
DOCKET NO.: 18-20878.001-R-1 through 18-20878.002-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Michael Kaufman, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

| DOCKET NO | PARCEL NUMBER | LAND | IMPRVMT | TOTAL |
|------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|
| 18-20878.001-R-1 | 05-06-406-043-0000 | 23,176 | 142,462 | \$165,638 |
| 18-20878.002-R-1 | 05-06-406-041-0000 | 383 | 0 | \$383 |

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of two parcels improved with a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 4,282 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 1 year old. Features of the home include a full basement with a recreation room, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a three-car garage. The property has a total of 13,089 square feet of land area and is located in Glencoe, New Trier Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-08 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with class 2-08 dwellings of masonry exterior construction that range

in size from 4,503 to 4,884 square feet of living area and are 16 or 19 years old. The comparables have full basements with two having finished recreation rooms. Each comparable has central air conditioning, two or three fireplaces, and a 3-car or a 3.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$115,752 to \$137,850 or from \$25.71 to \$28.22 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$115,143 or \$26.89 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal." The subject has a total assessment of \$166,021. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$142,462 or \$33.27 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with class 2-08 two-story dwellings of masonry exterior construction that range in size from 3,916 to 4,411 square feet of living area and range in age from 1 to 16 years old. Each comparable has a full basement with a recreation room, central air conditioning two or three fireplaces and a two-car or a three-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$135,527 to \$237,467 or from \$33.34 to \$53.84 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains seven equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to appellant's comparable #2 which has an unfinished basement in contrast to the subject's finished basement and appellant's comparable #3 due to its larger dwelling size when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the parties remaining comparables which are relatively similar to the subject in location, dwelling size and features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$115,752 to \$237,467 or from \$25.71 to \$53.84 per square foot of living area. Excluding the high and the low improvement assessments, yields a tighter range from \$135,527 to \$179,025 or \$33.34 and \$45.72 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$142,462 or \$33.27 per square foot of living area, which is within the range on an overall improvement assessment basis established by the best comparables in this record and slightly below on a per square foot basis. After considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds that the subject's improvement assessment appears to be equitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

October 19, 2021



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Michael Kaufman, by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC
33 North Dearborn Street
Suite 1850
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602