

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Tricia Kooy

DOCKET NO.: 18-05762.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 17-09-18-208-021

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Tricia Kooy, the appellant, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the Kankakee County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kankakee** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,466 **IMPR.:** \$22,182 **TOTAL:** \$26,648

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board pursuant to section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame construction with 1,086 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1958. Features of the home include a slab foundation, central air conditioning and an attached 1-car garage. The property has an 8,156 square foot site and is located in Bourbonnais, Bourbonnais Township, Kankakee County.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on February 28, 2017 for a price of \$80,000.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to \$26.664.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$32,661. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$98,052 or \$90.29 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2018 three-year average median level of assessment for Kankakee County of 33.31% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales that occurred from April to November2017 for prices ranging from \$95,000 to \$148,500 or from \$96.90 to \$126.28 per square foot of living area, including land. The board of review's evidence included a letter and listings from the Bourbonnais Township Assessor's Office. The letter states, "In May 2018, property was listed for rent for \$1000, with a monthly income of \$3000. In May 2020, the rent was increased to \$1300 a month, with a monthly income of \$3,900."

Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

The appellant submitted rebuttal asserting that the board of review did not dispute the validity of the subject's recent arm's length sale transaction.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in February 2017 for a price of \$80,000. The appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold by the previous owner and the property had been advertised on the open market with a sign, internet and/or auction. In further support of the transaction the appellant submitted a copy of the settlement statement and the PTAX-203 Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration revealing that the subject was advertised for sale. The Board finds the purchase price is below the market value reflected by the assessment. The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction or to refute the contention that the purchase price was reflective of market value. The Board gives less weight to the board of review's submission, as this evidence does not overcome the weight given to the subject's arm's-length sale transaction.

The Illinois Supreme Court has defined fair cash value as what the property would bring at a voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is ready, willing and able to buy but not forced to do so. Springfield Marine Bank v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 44 Ill.2d. 428, (1970). A contemporaneous sale of property between parties dealing at arm's-length is a relevant factor in determining the correctness of an assessment and may be practically conclusive on the issue of whether an assessment is reflective

of market value. <u>Rosewell v. 2626 Lakeview Limited Partnership</u>, 120 Ill.App.3d 369 (1st Dist. 1983), <u>People ex rel. Munson v. Morningside Heights, Inc</u>, 45 Ill.2d 338 (1970), <u>People ex rel. Korzen v. Belt Railway Co. of Chicago</u>, 37 Ill.2d 158 (1967); and <u>People ex rel. Rhodes v. Turk</u>, 391 Ill. 424 (1945).

Based on this record the Board finds the subject's assessment is not reflective of market value and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21.	hen
Chair	rman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	September 21, 2021	
	Middle 14	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

IMPORTANT NOTICE

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Tricia Kooy, by attorney: Jessica Hill-Magiera Attorney at Law 790 Harvest Drive Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Kankakee County Board of Review County Administration Building 189 East Court Street 1st Floor Kankakee, IL 60901