

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Dina Bascharon
DOCKET NO.:	18-04290.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	06-20-404-012

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Dina Bascharon, the appellant, by attorney Harry Fournier of Fournier Law Firm, Ltd. in Oak Brook; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *reduction* in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$44,210
IMPR.:	\$113,870
TOTAL:	\$158,080

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 3,834 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1985. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a two-car attached garage. The property has a 28,064 square foot site and is located in Lombard, York Township, DuPage County.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on October 31, 2018 for a price of \$475,000. The seller was identified as West Suburban Bank as trustee under Trust No. 433. The appellant further indicated the parties were not related, the property had been advertised in the Multiple Listing Service (MLS) and marketed for 142 days. The appellant's counsel asserted that the property was professionally marketed for 142 days and each party was

represented by a real estate broker. To document the sale the appellant submitted a copy of the deed, a copy of the settlement statement, and a copy of the real estate sales contract. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$199,390. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$599,129 or \$156.10 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2018 threeyear average median level of assessment for DuPage County of 33.28% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted a written statement from Linda Tregler, of the York Township Assessor's Office, asserting that due to the date of sale the 2018 assessment had a pro-rated reduction from the date of sale. She further indicated that the assessor's office would reduce the subject's assessment to the full sale price for 2019.

The board of review submission also included a grid analysis using three equity comparables identified by the township assessor's office, which will be given nor further consideration because this evidence does not address the appellant's market value argument.

In rebuttal, the appellant's counsel argued that the recent sale of the subject property is the best indication of market value.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in October 2018 for a price of \$475,000. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a Realtor, the property had been advertised on the open market through the Multiple Listing Service and the property had been on the market for 142 days. In further support of the transaction the appellant submitted a copy of the sales contract, settlement statement and deed. The Board finds the purchase price is below the market value reflected by the subject's assessment. The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction and, in fact, provided evidence acquiescing to the arm's length nature of the sale but pro-rated the purchase price for the 2018 tax year. The Board finds there is not authority in the Property Tax Code to pro-rate an assessment based on a purchase during the tax year in question. A contemporaneous sale between two parties dealing at arm's length is not only relevant to the question of fair cash value but practically conclusive on the

issue on whether the assessment is reflective of market value. <u>Korzen v. Belt Railway Co. of</u> <u>Chicago</u>, 37 Ill.2d 158 (1967). Furthermore, the sale of a property during the tax year in question is a relevant factor in considering the validity of the assessment. <u>Rosewell v. 2626</u> <u>Lakeview Limited Partnership</u>, 120 Ill.App.3d 369, 375 (1<sup>st</sup> Dist. 1983).

Based on this record the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$475,000 as of January 1, 2018. Since market value has been determined the 2018 three-year average median level of assessment for DuPage County of 33.28% shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code \$1910.50(c)(1).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:** 

# CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

March 16, 2021

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## APPELLANT

Dina Bascharon, by attorney: Harry Fournier Fournier Law Firm, Ltd. 2001 Midwest Road Suite 206 Oak Brook, IL 60523

## COUNTY

DuPage County Board of Review DuPage Center 421 N. County Farm Road Wheaton, IL 60187