

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Angelica Kowalczyk DOCKET NO.: 18-02940.001-R-1

PARCEL NO.: 30-07-13-305-001-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Angelica Kowalczyk, the appellant, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the Will County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *no change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Will** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$4,333 **IMPR.:** \$34,660 **TOTAL:** \$38,993

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Will County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of two dwellings.<sup>1</sup> House #1 is a 1.5-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 1,170 square feet of living area and features an unfinished basement. House #2 is a 1-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 468 square feet of living area and features a concrete slab foundation. Both dwellings were constructed in 1929. The property has a 5,227 square foot site and is located in Joliet, Joliet Township, Will County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located from .36 of a mile to 1.26

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Board finds the best evidence of the description of the subject property is found in the property record card provided by the board of review. The property record card depicts the subject property consisting of two separate dwellings shown as "House #1" and "House #2" and includes a schematic diagram, measurements and descriptions of each dwelling, which was unrefuted by the appellant.

miles from the subject property, one of which is within the subject's subdivision.<sup>2</sup> comparables have sites ranging in size from 4,356 to 28,749 square feet of land area. comparables are improved with 1.5-story dwellings of frame exterior construction ranging in size from 990 to 1,257 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1912 to 1930. Each comparable features an unfinished basement. Comparable #2 has central air conditioning. The comparables sold from December 2016 to October 2017 for prices ranging from \$10,000 to \$60,000 or from \$10.10 to \$51.64 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$13,340 reflecting a market value of approximately \$40,024 or \$34.21 per square foot of living area including land, when using 1,170 square feet and the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$38,993. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$117,061 or \$100.05 per square foot of living area, land included, when considering only the dwelling size of "House #1" and using the 2018 three-year average median level of assessment for Will County of 33.31% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted a letter, property record cards and a grid analysis of the subject and five comparable sales. The evidence was prepared by the Joliet Township Assessor. The comparables are located in the subject's neighborhood and have sites that range in size from 4,791 to 13,939 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with 1.5-story or part 1.5-story and part 1-story dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 1,024 to 1,469 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1905 to 1951. Two comparables have either a crawl space or concrete slab foundation and three comparables have unfinished basements. comparables have central air conditioning and four comparables each have a garage that ranges in size from 240 to 576 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from October 2016 to October 2018 for prices ranging from \$113,000 to \$159,900 or from \$90.11 to \$126.95 per square foot of living area, land included. Also submitted by the board of review was a map depicting the location of the comparables submitted by both parties relative to the subject's location. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested no change in the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, counsel for the appellant critiqued the comparables submitted by the board of review. Counsel argued that board of review comparables #1, #2, #3 and #5 differ from the subject in design, dwelling size, age, foundation type and/or features and comparable #4 sold in 2016 which is too remote in time to establish market value as of the January 1, 2018 assessment date. In a rebuttal grid analysis, counsel reiterated that the appellant's comparables #2, #3 and #4 are the best comparable sales in the record and contended the subject's assessment should be reduced.

### **Conclusion of Law**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The descriptive information for the appellant's comparables was derived from the appellant's and the board of review submissions.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains nine suggested comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board finds neither party submitted comparables that were particularly similar to the subject due to significant differences in land area, location, dwelling size, age, features and/or sale dates. Nonetheless, the Board gave less weight to the comparables submitted by the appellant due to their larger site size or distant locations from the subject being more than one mile away. The Board also gave reduced weight to board of review comparables #2, #3 and #4 due to their larger site and/or dwelling sizes when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be board of review comparables #1 and #5. Despite that neither of these comparables has an additional dwelling like the subject property and each has a garage unlike the subject, these comparables are similar to the subject in location, dwelling size and design. They sold in December 2017 and May 2018 for prices of \$130,000 and \$113,000 or for \$126.95 and \$90.11 per square foot of living area, including land, respectively. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$117,061 or \$100.05 per square foot of living area, land included, which is bracketed by the two best comparable sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparable sales for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds no reduction in the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bobbler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

### **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	January 19, 2021
	Middle
	Clark of the Property Tay Appeal Roard

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

### **APPELLANT**

Angelica Kowalczyk, by attorney: Jessica Hill-Magiera Attorney at Law 790 Harvest Drive Lake Zurich, IL 60047

### **COUNTY**

Will County Board of Review Will County Office Building 302 N. Chicago Street Joliet, IL 60432