

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Sunil Kokkalera DOCKET NO.: 18-01845.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-32-303-005

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Sunil Kokkalera, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$47,194 **IMPR.:** \$122,590 **TOTAL:** \$169,784

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick exterior construction with 2,852 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1977. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 598 square foot garage. The property has a 43,987 square foot site and is located in Deer Park, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellant through counsel contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not contested. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three suggested equity comparables located in the same neighborhood and from .38 of a mile to 1.61 miles from the subject property. The comparables were improved with two-story dwellings of brick exterior construction that range in size from 3,057 to 3,201 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built in 1976 or 1977. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 506 to 754

square feet of building area. Comparable #2 has an 800 square foot in-ground swimming pool. The comparables have improvement assessments that range from \$86,304 to \$113,099 or from \$27.82 to \$35.33 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$91,568 or \$32.11 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$169,784. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$122,590 or \$42.98 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted property record cards and a grid analysis on eight suggested equity comparables located in the same neighborhood and from .611 of a mile to 1.576 miles from the subject property. The comparables were improved with two-story dwellings of brick or wood siding exterior construction ranging in size from 2,765 to 2,894 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1977 to 1982. Each comparable has an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 552 to 889 square feet of building area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$121,249 to \$149,220 or from \$43.02 to \$51.79 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested that the assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted 11 comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 and #3 along with the board of review comparables #4 through #7 as these comparables are located over 1 mile from the subject property. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable #2 as it has an inground swimming pool unlike the subject property.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #1, #2, #3 and #8. These comparables have varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject in age and dwelling size. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$121,384 to \$149,220 or from \$43.02 to \$51.79 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$122,590 or \$42.98 per square foot of living area falls within the range on a total improvement assessment basis and slightly below the range established by the best comparables in this record on a per square foot basis. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 16, 2021
	Michl 214
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

1 7 11

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Sunil Kokkalera, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085