

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Soterios Gardiakos DOCKET NO.: 18-00980.001-C-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-22-380-010

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Soterios Gardiakos, the appellant, by attorney Franco A. Coladipietro, of Amari & Locallo, in Bloomingdale, and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$16,719 **IMPR.:** \$71,009 **TOTAL:** \$87,728

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story, multi-tenant mixed-use building of masonry exterior construction that contains 12,408 square feet of building area with a full basement. The structure was built in approximately 1900 and has approximately 6,000 square feet of retail space in three occupied units and the second floor consists of four vacant apartment units. The property has a 7,128 square foot site and is located in Aurora, Aurora Township, Kane County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal concerning the improvement; no dispute was raised concerning the land assessment. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a brief along with information on three equity comparables located less than .1 of a mile from the subject. The comparable three-story masonry buildings range in size from 10,407 to 17,004 square feet of building area. The comparables were built between 1900 and 1914 and have improvement assessments ranging from \$14,016 to \$61,585 or

from \$1.35 to \$3.62 per square foot of building area. As part of the brief, the appellant reported that the second floor apartments are uninhabitable due to life safety issues as determined by the City Building Department. In the brief, the appellant also contended the comparables are classified the same as the subject and are in the same neighborhood code as the subject; a spreadsheet indicated that comparable #1 was office use and comparables #2 and #3 were each mixed-use buildings.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$16,751 or \$1.35 per square foot of building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal." As part of the appeal, the appellant provided a copy of the Kane County Board of Review Final Decision depicting the total assessment for the subject of \$87,728. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$71,009 or \$5.72 per square foot of building area.

In response to the appellant's evidence, the board of review submitted a memorandum prepared by the Aurora Township Assessor's Office which indicated that the subject property was not revalued in 2018, but the subject assessment was increased by the township equalization factor of 1.0853.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review through the township assessor submitted information on five equity comparables. The comparables consist of two-story buildings located within .21 of a mile of the subject. The comparables were built between 1900 and 1926 and range in size from 7,128 to 16,200 square feet of building area. Each comparable has a basement. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$38,369 to \$121,254 or from \$3.80 to \$11.97 per square foot of building area.

Based on this evidence and argument, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's improvement assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's comparable #3 and board of review comparables #3, #4 and #5 which consist of substantially larger and/or smaller buildings as compared to the subject 12,408 square foot building.

_

¹ The board of review erroneous depicted the subject's final assessment.

On this record, the Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #1 and #2 along with board of review comparables #1 and #2. These comparables were most similar to the subject in building size and had improvement assessments that ranged from \$14,016 to \$121,254 or from \$1.33 to \$7.48 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$71,009 or \$5.72 per square foot of building area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the taxation burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence. For the foregoing reasons, the Board finds that the appellant has not proven by clear and convincing evidence that the subject property is inequitably assessed. Therefore, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that the subject's assessment as established by the board of review is correct and no reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21.	hen	
Chairman	<u> </u>	
	Robert Stoffen	
Member	Member	
Dan De Kinin	Swan Boldey	
Member	Member	
DISSENTING:		
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>		
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the		

Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 26, 2020	
	Mano Illorios	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Soterios Gardiakos, by attorney: Franco A. Coladipietro Amari & Locallo 236 West Lake Street Suite 100 Bloomingdale, IL 60108

COUNTY

Kane County Board of Review Kane County Government Center 719 Batavia Ave., Bldg. C, 3rd Fl. Geneva, IL 60134