

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: John & Karin Karecki DOCKET NO.: 18-00762.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 09-13-101-003

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are John & Karin Karecki, the appellants; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$ 31,416 **IMPR.:** \$ 73,568 **TOTAL:** \$104,984

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2018 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame and vinyl exterior construction that has 3,180 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2003. Features include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 580 square foot garage. The dwelling is commonly known as a "Hopkins" model dwelling. The subject property is located in Wauconda Township, Lake County.

The appellants contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. The subject's land assessment was not challenged. In support of the inequity claim, the appellants submitted a grid analysis of three assessment comparables located within .58 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consists of two-story dwellings of frame and vinyl exterior construction that were built in 2003 or 2004. One comparable has a partial finished basement and two comparables have unfinished basements. Other features include central air conditioning; two comparables have a fireplace and each comparable has a garage that range in size from 520 to 580 square feet

of building area. The dwellings range in size from 3,124 to 3,388 square feet of living area. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$51,741 to \$62,783 or from \$15.52 to \$19.34 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's final assessment of \$104,984. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$73,568 or \$23.13 per square foot of living area. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted information on six assessment comparables located in the same subdivision and within .47 of a mile from the subject. Each comparable was described as a Hopkins model dwelling like the subject. The comparables consists of two-story dwellings of vinyl exterior construction that were built in 2003 or 2004. Four comparables have unfinished basements and two comparables have partial finished basements. Other features include central air conditioning, one fireplace, and each comparable has a garage that have 580 or 609 square feet of building area. The dwellings have 3,180 square feet of living area, identical to the subject. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$73,794 to \$79,047 or from \$23.21 to \$24.86 per square foot of living area.

The Board of review also asserted appellants' comparables #1 an #2 are Jefferson or Adams model dwellings, dissimilar to the subject which is a Hopkins model dwelling. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayers argued assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof.

The record contains nine assessment comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board finds comparables #1, #2, #3, and #5 submitted by the board of review is the best evidence of assessment equity. These comparables are very similar if not identical when compared to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size and features. In addition, these comparables are the same model type as the subject. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$73,794 to \$75,362 or from \$23.21 to \$23.70 per square foot of living area. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$73,568 or \$23.13 per square foot of living area, which falls below the range established by the most similar assessment comparables contained in the record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Therefore, no reduction in the subject's improvement assessment is warranted.

The Board gave less weight to the comparables submitted by the appellants because they are less similar to the subject in dwelling size and comparables #1 and #2 are a different model type

when compared to the subject. The Board also gave less weight to comparables #4 and #6 submitted by the board of review due to their superior finished basements when compared to the subject.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fen
	Chairman
C. R.	asort Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Schley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

#### **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 17, 2020
	111:10/16
	Man O
	C1l £ 41 D T A 1 D 1

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

John & Karin Karecki 2242 Trailside Ln Wauconda, IL 60084

## COUNTY

Lake County Board of Review Lake County Courthouse 18 North County Street, 7th Floor Waukegan, IL 60085