

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Grace Stramaglio
DOCKET NO.: 17-46251.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 17-08-129-012-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Grace Stramaglio, the appellant(s), by attorney Christopher G. Walsh, Jr., Attorney at Law in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$7,422 **IMPR.:** \$38,310 **TOTAL:** \$45,732

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is a 122 year-old, three-story, mixed-use building of masonry construction containing 5,475 square feet of gross building area. The building contains one commercial space on the first floor and four residential units on the upper floors. The property has a 2,474 square foot site in West Chicago Township, Cook County. The property is a Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends the assessment of the subject property as established by the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board for the 2016 tax year should be carried forward to the 2017 tax year pursuant to Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code. (35 ILCS 200/16-185). The appellant submitted a brief arguing that the subject property qualified for a rollover and that it

was the subject matter of an appeal before the Property Tax Appeal Board in the prior year under Docket Number 16-43722.001-R-1.1 That decision was based on the Board's decision in Docket Number 15-36388.001-R-1. In the 2015 decision, the Board specifically found the property did not qualify for a rollover because there was evidence the appellant/owner claimed a rollover for more than one property. The appellant submitted a copy of the 2016 decision, which specifically referred to the 2015 decision. Both the 2015 and 2016 decisions are incorporated in the instant decision by reference. The appellant asserted in an undated letter the subject qualified for a rollover of the Board's 2016 decision to the instant lien year. The appellant included in the letter a statement she "incorporates the evidence submitted in the 2016 appeal by reference..." The appellant did not submit further information whether the subject was occupied by the owner as her principal place of residence or in support of her attorney's statement that it qualified for a rollover. The evidence in the 2016 appeal included a letter in which her attorney requested a rollover for that appeal and for another appeal for a separate property also owned by the appellant, Grace Stramaglio.² The record plainly disclosed the address of appellant, Grace Stramaglio, was 1313 West Grand Avenue, Chicago, Illinois. Yet, the subject's address was 1224 West Grand Avenue, Chicago, Illinois.³ This discrepancy of address was submitted as evidence in both the 2016 and the instant 2017 appeal.

The appellant also contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$450,000 as of January 1, 2015.⁴ The appellant requested a total assessment reduction to \$45,732.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$46,913. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted four suggested equity comparable properties. The board of review indicated it would not stipulate to a rollover of the Board's decision to the instant lien year.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant raised a contention of law asserting that the assessment of the subject property as established by the Property Tax Appeal Board for the 2016 tax year should be carried forward to the 2017 tax year pursuant to Section 16-185, *supra*. When a contention of law is raised, the burden of proof is a preponderance of the evidence. (See 5 ILCS 100/10-15). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

¹ The Petition did not include an answer to the plain question in Section 1b whether the property was an owner-occupied residence.

² The docket number for the other appeal brought by appellant/owner Grace Stramaglio is 16-43724.

³ The Board specifically found this fact in its 2015 decision.

⁴ The appellant failed to check the applicable box on the Petition to indicate a Recent Appraisal as a basis of appeal. Nevertheless, the appellant submitted an appraisal as evidence.

Section 16-185 provides that the prior year's decision lowering the assessment should be carried forward to the current tax year, subject only to equalization, where the property is an owner-occupied residence and the tax years are within the same general assessment period.

If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel on which a residence occupied by the owner is situated, such reduced assessment, subject to equalization, shall remain in effect for the remainder of the general assessment period as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, unless that parcel is subsequently sold in an arm's length transaction establishing a fair cash value for the parcel that is different from the fair cash value on which the Board's assessment is based, or unless the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board is reversed or modified upon review.

35 ILCS 200/16-185.

The record plainly disclosed the address of appellant, Grace Stramaglio, was 1313 West Grand Avenue, Chicago, Illinois. Yet, the subject's address was 1224 West Grand Avenue, Chicago, Illinois. This fact was established in the Board's 2015 decision. There is no information in the record for the instant appeal to explain why the appellant, through her attorney, repeated this discrepancy. Hence, the threshold question is whether the appellant/owner occupies the subject as her principal place of residence.

The Illinois Supreme Court in <u>Proviso Township High School District No. 209</u>, et al. v. <u>Hynes</u>, 84 Ill.2d 229 (1980), addressed the issue of status of a party to "reside" in a building to qualify for homestead exemption status. The plaintiff school district filed individually and on behalf of other governmental bodies a class action for declaration that the homestead exemption applies to owners who occupy residential property. The Court found that the person claiming the exemption must occupy the property as a residence. *Id.* at 236.

The appellant cannot physically nor legally reside in more than one property for the purpose of claiming homestead exemption status. The burden weighs on the appellant as the moving party to the appeal to submit evidence to establish whether the appellant occupies the subject building as owner and as her principal place of residence. The appellant has failed to do this by a preponderance of the evidence. Therefore, the appellant is not eligible for a rollover of the 2016 assessment reduction to the instant lien year.

The appellant also contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted. The Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment commensurate with the appellant's request is appropriate.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fe
	Chairman
a R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.	
Date:	October 19, 2021
	Mich 214

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Grace Stramaglio, by attorney: Christopher G. Walsh, Jr. Attorney at Law 111 West Washington Street Suite 1150 Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602