

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Shirley Anderson
DOCKET NO.:	17-44883.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	31-26-313-005-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Shirley Anderson, the appellant, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *a reduction* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$1,386
IMPR.:	\$1,189
TOTAL:	\$2,575

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a favorable 2016 decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board pursuant to section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) to challenge the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story townhome of frame and masonry exterior construction with 1,000 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in approximately 1971 and is 46 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning and 1.5 bathrooms. The property has a 1,386 square foot site and is located in Richton Park, Rich Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-95 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on six comparable sales located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables have sites that range in size from 1,134 to 1,512 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings ranging in size from 900 to 1,100 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1969 to 1973. Each

comparable has a full basement, with one finished as a recreation room. The comparables each have central air conditioning, one comparable has one bathroom and five comparables each have 1.5 bathrooms. The comparables sold from January 2016 to December 2017 for prices ranging from \$20,000 to \$28,200 or from \$22.22 to \$26.11 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$2,368. The requested assessment would reflect a total market value of \$23,680 or \$23.68 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$7,360. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$73,600 or \$73.60 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparables with equity data which is not responsive to the appellant's overvaluation argument. The board of review failed to address the appellant's overvaluation argument with market value evidence.

Based on this equity evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

The appellant's counsel submitted rebuttal comments critiquing the comparable sales provided by the board of review. Counsel argued that none of the comparables submitted by the board of review are recent sales. In a rebuttal grid analysis, counsel reiterated that the appellant's comparables are the best comparable sales in the record and contended the subject's assessment should be reduced.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Property Tax Appeal Board finds the only evidence of market value in the record to be the appellant's comparable sales. The Board has given less weight to appellant's comparable #2 due to its fewer number of bathrooms when compared to the subject and appellant's comparable #6 as it has a finished basement, unlike the subject's unfinished basement. The Board finds the remaining four comparables are similar to the subject in dwelling size, design, age and features. These comparables sold from January 2016 to December 2017 for prices ranging from \$24,500 to \$28,200 of from \$22.27 to \$26.11 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$73,600 or \$73.60 per square foot of living area, including

land, which is above the best comparable sales in this record both in terms of overall value and on a per-square-foot basis. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified. This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

June 8, 2021

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Shirley Anderson, by attorney: Jessica Hill-Magiera Attorney at Law 790 Harvest Drive Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602