

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Douglas Hinde DOCKET NO.: 17-44845.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 31-24-437-011-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Douglas Hinde, the appellant, by Jessica Hill-Magiera, Attorney at Law in Lake Zurich; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$2,437 **IMPR.:** \$814 **TOTAL:** \$3,251

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a favorable 2016 decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board pursuant to section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) to challenge the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a multi-level dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction with 1,121 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in approximately 1958 and is 59 years old. Features of the home include a partial basement finished as a recreation room and central air conditioning. The property has a 7,500 square foot site and is located in Park Forest, Rich Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-34 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on eight comparable sales located within the same neighborhood code as the subject and from .10 to .36 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have sites that range in size from 7,200 to 8,400 square feet of land area. The comparables are improved with multi-level dwellings ranging in size from 1,121 to 1,224 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 1958 to 1961. Each comparable has a partial basement

finished as a recreation room, six comparables have central air conditioning and seven comparables each have either a 1.5-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables sold from July 2016 to August 2017 for prices ranging from \$20,000 to \$37,000 or from \$16.91 to \$31.14 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$2,645. The requested assessment would reflect a total market value of \$26,450 or \$23.60 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$8,553. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$85,530 or \$76.30 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable properties consisting of two equity comparables identified as #3 and #4. As equity data is not responsive to the appellant's overvaluation argument, this data will not be further examined.

Sales data was provided for comparables #1 and #2 which are located within the same neighborhood code and block as the subject. These properties each have a 7,500 square foot site improved with a multi-level dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction, each containing 1,121 square feet of living area. The dwellings are each 59 years old. One comparable has central air conditioning and one comparable has a 1.5-car garage. The properties sold in August 2015 and July 2016 for prices of \$18,000 and \$15,000 or for \$16.06 and \$13.38 per square foot of living area, including land, respectively. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

The appellant's counsel submitted rebuttal comments critiquing the comparables provided by the board of review. Counsel argued that the 2015 sale of board of review comparable #1 is too remote in time to establish market value as of the January 1, 2017 assessment date. Counsel also asserted that board of review comparables #3 and #4 are not recent sales. In a rebuttal grid analysis, counsel reiterated that the appellant's comparables #2, #3, #4 and #5, along with board of review comparable #2 are the best comparable sales in the record and contended the subject's assessment should be reduced.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted a total of ten comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to board of review comparable #1 as its sale occurred less proximate in time to the assessment date at issue than the other comparables in the record. The Board also gives reduced weight to board of review comparable sale #2 which appears to be an outlier when considering its sales price to the other comparables in the record.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the comparables submitted by the appellant. These comparables are relatively similar to the subject in location, dwelling size, design and age. However, two of the comparables lack central air conditioning, a feature the subject enjoys and seven of the comparables each have a garage, not a feature of the subject. The comparables sold from July 2016 to August 2017 for prices ranging from \$20,000 to \$37,000 or from \$16.91 to \$31.14 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$85,530 or \$76.30 per square foot of living area, including land, which is above the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences, when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21.	hen
Chair	rman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swan Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	June 8, 2021
	111.10)16
	Mana
	Clark of the Durantee Terr Annual Daniel

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Douglas Hinde, by attorney: Jessica Hill-Magiera Attorney at Law 790 Harvest Drive Lake Zurich, IL 60047

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602