

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Nicodemus Winata DOCKET NO.: 17-44397.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 07-07-403-044-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Nicodemus Winata, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$2,877 **IMPR.:** \$23,123 **TOTAL:** \$26,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story townhome style dwelling of frame exterior construction with 1,654 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 24 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 2-car garage. The property has a 4,110 square foot site and is located in Hoffman Estates, Schaumburg Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-95 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The subject property and appellant addresses are the same on the appeal petition, indicating the subject property is an owner-occupied residence. The subject was the matter of an appeal before the Property Tax Appeal Board the prior year under Docket Number 2016-41468.001-R-1. In that appeal, the Property Tax Appeal Board rendered a decision lowering the assessment of the

subject property to \$26,000 based on an agreement between the parties. In its submission, the board of review reported that 2016 was the beginning of the subject's general assessment cycle.

The appellant contends both overvaluation and assessment inequity with respect to the improvement assessment as the bases of the appeal. In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant submitted four comparable sales located in the subject's assessment neighborhood code and are similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size and most features. The comparables sold from November 2015 to November 2017 for prices ranging from \$232,500 to \$257,500 or from \$140.57 to \$155.68 per square foot of living area, land included.

In support of the inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are similar to the subject in age, location, design, dwelling size and most features and have improvement assessments ranging from \$21,994 to \$23,069 or from \$13.30 to \$13.95 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$24,875. The requested assessment reflects a total market value of \$248,750 or \$150.39 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. The request would lower the subject's improvement assessment to \$21,998 or \$13.30 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted two "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$27,356. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$273,560 or \$165.39 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$24,479 or \$14.80 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, on a market value basis, the board of review submitted information on three comparable properties located within 0.25 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in location, age, dwelling size and features and sold from May 2014 to January 2016 for prices ranging from \$202,000 to \$280,000 or from \$122.13 to \$207.41 per square foot of living area, land included.

As to the inequity argument, the board of review submitted three comparables located in the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in location, age, dwelling size and features with improvement assessments ranging from \$29,489 to \$29,587 or from \$15.84 to \$15.89 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends, in part, the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds, pursuant to section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185), a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that the subject property was the matter of an appeal before this Board for a prior tax year under Docket Number 2016-41468.001-R-1. In that appeal, the Property Tax Appeal Board rendered a decision lowering the subject's assessment to \$26,000. The Property Tax Appeal Board takes notice that Schaumburg Township's triennial general assessment period began in the 2016 tax year and continues through the 2018 tax year. The Board further finds section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code is controlling in this appeal (35 ILCS 200/16-185).

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) states in part:

If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel on which a residence occupied by the owner is situated, such reduced assessment, subject to equalization, shall remain in effect for the remainder of the general assessment period as provided in Sections 9-215 through 9-225, unless that parcel is subsequently sold in an arm's length transaction establishing a fair cash value for the parcel that is different from the fair cash value on which the Board's assessment is based, or unless the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board is reversed or modified upon review.

The Board finds the subject property is an owner-occupied residence and that the 2016 through 2018 tax years are within the same general assessment period for Schaumburg Township. The record contains no evidence showing the Board's 2016 decision was reversed or modified upon review and there was no evidence the subject property sold in an arm's length transaction establishing a different fair cash value. For these reasons, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that the prior year's decision should be carried forward to the 2017 tax year pursuant to section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-185) and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted to reflect the Board's prior year's decision.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

21. Fer	
	Chairman
	Sobot Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Swah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	July 20, 2021
	111.1016
	Mand
	Clade of the Decorate Terr Association

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Nicodemus Winata, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602