



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: James Athanasopoulos
DOCKET NO.: 17-42779.001-R-1 through 17-42779.002-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are James Athanasopoulos, the appellant, by attorney Peter D. Verros, of Verros Berkshire, PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
17-42779.001-R-1	30-29-302-015-0000	1,189	4,249	\$5,438
17-42779.002-R-1	30-29-302-016-0000	1,189	1,821	\$3,010

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 1,005 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 64 years old. Features of the home include a concrete slab foundation, central air conditioning, and a one-car garage. The property has two parcels containing a total of 7,320 square feet of land area and is located in Lansing, Thornton Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparables located within the subject's assessment neighborhood code and within .5 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are improved with one-story, class 2-03 dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction that have either 1,147 or 1,245 square feet of living area and are

59 or 64 years old. One comparable has a 1.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments of \$4,857 and \$5,153 or \$4.13 and \$4.23 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for only one of the subject's parcels.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code and block as the subject. The comparables are improved with one-story, class 2-03 dwellings of frame exterior construction that range in size from 1,005 to 1,120 square feet of living area and range in age from 60 to 64 years old. One comparable has a crawl space foundation and two comparables have full basements with one having finished area. One comparable has central air conditioning and two comparables each have a one-car or a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$6,832 to \$8,163 or from \$6.80 to \$7.29 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject. The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains seven equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable #4 due to its larger dwelling size when compared to the subject. The Board also gave less weight to board of review comparables #2 and #3 which have basements in contrast to the subject's concrete slab foundation.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #3 along with board of review comparable #1 which have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in age, dwelling size, and features. However, appellant's comparables require upward adjustments for lack of central air conditioning and a garage when compared to the subject. The best comparables have improvement assessments of \$4,857 and \$6,832 or \$4.23 and \$6.80 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$6,070 or \$6.04 per square foot of living area, which is bracketed by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: July 20, 2021



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

James Athanasopoulos, by attorney:
Peter D. Verros
Verros Berkshire, PC
225 West Randolph
Suite 2950
Chicago, IL 60606

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602