

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Constantine Grapsas DOCKET NO.: 17-42568.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 17-04-219-076-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Constantine Grapsas, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$27,625 **IMPR.:** \$55,545 **TOTAL:** \$83,170

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 2,164 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 19 years old. Features of the home include a partial basement with a recreation room, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car garage. The property has a 3,250 square foot site and is located in Chicago, North Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables have lots ranging in size from 2,373 to 3,716 square feet of land area and are improved with similar class 2-78 dwellings of masonry exterior construction. The comparables range in size from 2,164 to 3,780 square feet of living

area and range in age from 1 to 26 years old. Three comparables have full or partial basements, two of which have formal recreation rooms, and one comparable has a concrete slab foundation. Each dwelling has central air conditioning and one or three fireplaces. Each property has either a 1.5-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables sold from July 2015 to June 2017 for prices ranging from \$765,000 to \$1,375,000 or from \$236.53 to \$363.76 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$66,699. The requested assessment would reflect a total market value of \$666,990 or \$308.22 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$83,170. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$831,700 or \$384.33 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. Two comparables are also within the subarea of the subject and one is in the "same block." The comparables have lots ranging in size from 2,928 to 3,531 square feet of land area and are improved with similar class 2-78 two-story dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction. The comparables range in size from 2,014 to 2,394 square feet of living area and are either 17 or 19 years old. Each comparable has a full basement, three of which have formal recreation rooms. The dwellings have central air conditioning, three comparables each have a fireplace and each property has a two-car garage. The comparables sold from February to November 2015 for prices ranging from \$920,000 to \$1,350,000 or from \$439.79 to \$563.91 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable sales which have varying degrees of similarity to the subject to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to appellant's comparables #2, #3 and #4 due to their substantially larger dwelling sizes when compared to the subject dwelling.

Despite the dated nature of the board of review sales, on this limited record, the Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable sale #1 along with the board of review comparables which are each similar to the subject in location, age, design and dwelling size. These most similar comparables sold between February 2015 and June 2017 for prices ranging from \$765,000 to \$1,350,000 or from \$353.51 to \$563.91 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$831,700 or \$384.33 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within and at the lower end of the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence and after considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. hom
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
DIDDLITHIO.	<u> </u>

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	April 20, 2021
	111.1016
	Mand
	Clade of the Donate Toron Associal Decord

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

## **APPELLANT**

Constantine Grapsas, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

## **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602