

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Tom Aralis
DOCKET NO .:	17-42130.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	04-21-102-026-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Tom Aralis, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$12,758
IMPR.:	\$44,155
TOTAL:	\$56,913

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 1-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 3,012 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 31 years old. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 3-car garage. The property has a 12,447 square foot site and is located in Glenview, Northfield Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-04 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity, with respect to the improvement assessment, as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on seven equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 1-story or 1.5-1.9 story, class 2-04 dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,327 to 3,106 square feet of

living area. The homes are either 44 or 55 years old. Each comparable has a basement, two with finished area, central air conditioning, three comparables each have one or two fireplaces and six comparables have a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$30,612 to \$40,910 or from \$12.85 to \$13.79 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$40,267.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$56,913. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$44,155 or \$14.66 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with 1-story, class 2-04 dwellings of masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,842 to 3,118 square feet of living area. The homes range in age from 28 to 45 years old. Each comparable has a basement, two with finished area, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a 2-car to a 3-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$42,459 to \$53,536 or from \$14.94 to \$17.17 per square feet of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eleven equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #2 through #7 along with board of review comparables #3 and #4 due to differences in design, age, dwelling size, lack of garage and/or finished basement when compared to the subject's property characteristics.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparable #1 and board of review comparables #1 and #2 which are relatively similar to the subject in location, dwelling size and most features but appellant's comparable #1 is 13 years older than the subject. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$40,910 to \$53,536 or from \$13.79 to \$17.17 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$44,155 or \$14.66 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for difference from the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman Member Member Member Member **DISSENTING:**

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 20, 2021

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Tom Aralis, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602