



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Spiro & John Pappas  
DOCKET NO.: 17-42090.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 04-33-404-032-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Spiro & John Pappas, the appellants, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$9,418  
**IMPR.:** \$80,029  
**TOTAL:** \$89,447

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 4,366 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 17 years old. Features of the home include a full basement with a formal recreation room, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a three-car garage. The property has a 14,490 square foot site and is located in Glenview, Northfield Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-08 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellants contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal concerning the improvement assessment. In support of this argument, the appellants submitted information on six equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code as the subject and within .24 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction. The dwellings range in age from 1 to 22 years old and range

in size from 3,892 to 4,885 square feet of living area. The homes have full basements, three of which have formal recreation rooms. Each comparable has central air conditioning. Five comparables have one or two fireplaces and each property has either a two-car or a three-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$28,980 to \$82,140 or from \$6.88 to \$17.57 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduced improvement assessment of \$65,246 or \$14.94 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$89,447. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$80,029 or \$18.33 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same neighborhood code as the subject and within either the "same block" or within ¼ of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction. The dwellings range in age from 12 to 16 years old and range in size from 4,026 to 4,250 square feet of living area. Each dwelling has a full basement, two of which have formal recreation rooms. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging from a 2-car or a 3.5-car. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$75,956 to \$87,346 or from \$18.36 to \$21.70 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayers contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of ten equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to appellants' comparables #3 and #4 due to substantial differences in dwelling size when compared to the subject. The Board has given reduced weight to appellants' comparable #6 which is new construction and may depict a pro-rated improvement assessment.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellants' comparables #1, #2 and #5 along with the board of review comparables. These seven comparables have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in location, age, size, basement finish and/or features. The comparables have improvement assessments that ranged from \$70,624 to \$87,346 or from \$15.29 to \$21.70 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of

\$80,029 or \$18.33 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellants did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 20, 2021



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Spiro & John Pappas, by attorney:  
George N. Reveliotis  
Reveliotis Law, P.C.  
1030 Higgins Road  
Suite 101  
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602