

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: George Caparos
DOCKET NO.: 17-42085.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 04-09-400-009-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are George Caparos, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$19,713 **IMPR.:** \$85,119 **TOTAL:** \$104,832

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 4,134 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 7 years old. Features include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a three-car garage. The property has an 18,338 square foot site and is located in Northbrook, Northfield Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-08 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends both overvaluation and lack of assessment uniformity as the bases of the appeal.

In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The

comparables have lots ranging in size from 10,535 to 13,200 square feet of land area and are improved with similar class 2-08 dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction. The comparables range in size from 4,020 to 4,105 square feet of living area and are either 1 or 16 years old. Each comparable has a full basement, two of which have recreation rooms. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car or a three-car garage. The comparables sold from February 2015 to June 2016 for prices ranging from \$420,000 to \$630,000 or from \$104.48 to \$153.47 per square foot of living area, including land.

In support of the inequity argument, the appellant submitted information on six comparables located within the same neighborhood code as the subject and within .17 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of two-story class 2-08 dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction. The dwellings range in age from 4 to 16 years old. The dwellings range in size from 3,868 to 4,774 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full basement, three of which have formal recreation rooms. Each dwelling has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a two-car to a four-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$75,710 to \$88,729 or from \$18.44 to \$20.00 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$80,000 which would reflect a total market value of \$800,000 or \$193.52 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. The requested reduced improvement assessment of \$60,287 would reflect an assessment of \$14.58 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted two sets of "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$104,832. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,048,320 or \$253.58 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$85,119 or \$20.59 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparables with both sales and equity data. Three of the comparables are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject, one of which were also in the subject's subarea. The four comparables have lots ranging in size from 10,170 to 14,092 square feet of land area and were improved with similar class 2-08 two-story dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction. The comparables range in size from 3,290 to 4,710 square feet of living area and range in age from 1 to 16 years old. Each comparable has a full basement, three with formal recreation rooms, central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a two-car to a three-car garage. The comparables sold from April 2014 to December 2015 for prices ranging from \$1,151,238 to \$1,320,000 or from \$280.15 to \$349.92 per square foot of living area, including land. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$75,341 to \$115,206 or from \$21.78 to \$29.70 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment on both market value and equity grounds.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends, in part, that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of seven comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to board of review comparables #1 and #2 as these comparables sold in 2014, a date more remote in time to the valuation date at issue and not as recent as other similar comparable sales in the record presented by both parties.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparable sales and board of review comparables #3 and #4. The comparables are similar to the subject in location, design, age and/or features. These properties sold from February 2015 to June 2016 for prices ranging from \$420,000 to \$1,236,600 or from \$104.48 to \$349.92 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,048,320 or \$253.58 per square foot of living area, including land, which is supported by the best comparable sales in this record given that the subject has a larger lot, is larger in dwelling size and has a three-car garage. Based on this evidence and after considering adjustments to the best comparable sales for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified due to alleged overvaluation.

Additionally, the taxpayer contends assessment inequity with regard to the improvement as another basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of ten equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to appellant's comparables #2 through #6 along with board of review comparables #2 and #4 due to differences in age and/or dwelling size as compared to the subject dwelling that is approximately 7 years old.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparable #1 along with board of review comparables #1 and #3. These three comparables are each relatively similar to the subject in location, age, size, foundation and several features. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$77,360 to \$115,206 or from \$20.00 to \$29.70

per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$85,119 or \$20.59 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record and after considering adjustments to the best comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified based upon a lack of assessment uniformity.

In conclusion, having examined the entire record, the Board finds that no change in the subject's assessment is warranted based either upon grounds of overvaluation or assessment inequity.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. hom
	Chairman
a de R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Swah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
DIDDLITHIO.	<u> </u>

# **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	April 20, 2021
	111.1016
	Mand
	Clade of the Donate Toron Associal Decord

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

George Caparos, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602