

## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Hispanic Housing Development Cor.
DOCKET NO .:	17-41639.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	32-10-210-010-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Hispanic Housing Development Cor., the appellant, by attorney Ciarra Schmidt, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *a reduction* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$2,520
IMPR.:	\$9,213
TOTAL:	\$11,733

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a multi-level frame and masonry dwelling with 1,451 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 46 years old. Features of the home include a partial basement with finished area, and a 2-car garage. The property has a 7,200 square foot site and is located in Glenwood, Bloom Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-34 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on five comparable properties, all of which are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables consist of multi-level class 2-34 dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction. The dwellings range from 42 to 48 years old and range in size from 1,151 to 1,526 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a partial basement with finished area. Two

comparables have central air conditioning. Three comparables have either a 1.5-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$7,772 to \$9,525 or from \$6.24 to \$6.75 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$9,213 or \$6.35 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$13,506. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$10,986 or \$7.57 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable properties all located within the same neighborhood code as the subject and three of which are located on the same block as the subject. The comparables consist of multi-level class 2-34 dwellings of frame and masonry exterior construction that range in age from 46 to 48 years old and contain either 1,165 or 1,230 square feet of living area. Each of the comparables has a partial basement with finished area, central air-conditioning, and a 2-car or 2.5-car garage. One comparable has a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$9.738 to \$9,875 or from \$7.92 to \$8.37 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as a basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 III.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity, and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 III.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted nine suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to appellant's comparables #2, #4 and #5 and to the board of review's comparables which lack a garage, dissimilar to the subject, and/or are smaller in dwelling size when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of value in this record to be appellant's comparables #1 and #3 which are similar to the subject property in location, age, size, design, and features. These comparables had improvement assessments of \$9,525 and \$9,398 or \$6.24 and \$6.35 per square foot of living area, respectively. The subject's improvement assessment of \$10,986 or \$7.57 per square foot of living area is higher than either of the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to these best comparables for differences from the subject, the Board finds that the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted commensurate with the appellant's request.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Chairman	
CAR	Robert Stoffer
Member	Member
Dan Dukinin	
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

# CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

November 16, 2021

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes. Docket No: 17-41639.001-R-1

## PARTIES OF RECORD

### AGENCY

State of Illinois

Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street

Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### APPELLANT

Hispanic Housing Development Cor., by attorney:

Ciarra Schmidt

Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.

111 West Washington Street

Suite 1300

Chicago, IL 60602

### COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review

County Building, Room 601

118 North Clark Street

Chicago, IL 60602

Docket No: 17-41639.001-R-1