

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Warren Orange
DOCKET NO.: 17-40071.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 25-29-204-029-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Warren Orange, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$3,369 **IMPR.:** \$10,918 **TOTAL:** \$14,287

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story mixed-use building of masonry exterior construction with 4,302 square feet of building area. The building is approximately 99 years old. Features of the building include a partial unfinished basement and a 1.5-car garage. The property has a 5,616 square foot site located in Chicago, Lake Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-12 mixed-use property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within different neighborhood codes than the subject. The comparables are improved with similar class 2-12 buildings of frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 3,894 to 4,585 square feet of building area. The buildings

range in age from 109 to 122 years old. Each comparable has a partial unfinished basement. Two comparables have either a 1.5-car or a 3-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$7,443 to \$9,430 or from \$1.72 to \$2.12 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$8,243 or \$1.93 per square foot of building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$14,287. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$10,918 or \$2.54 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables three of which are located within different neighborhood codes than the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story mixed-use buildings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 4,000 to 4,896 square feet of building area. The buildings range in age from 82 to 119 years old. Three comparables have partial or full unfinished basements and one comparable has a crawl space foundation. One comparable has two fireplaces. One comparable has a 1-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$11,144 to \$35,310 or from \$2.54 to \$7.61 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the assessment be confirmed.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gives less weight to the appellant's comparables and the board of review's comparables #2, #3 and #4 due to their different neighborhood codes when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the record contains eight suggested equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board finds neither parties' comparables were particularly similar to the subject due to differences in location, age, dwelling sizes, and/or features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$1.72 to \$7.61 per square foot of living area. The Board finds board of review comparable #1 was given the most weight as it was the only comparable located within the subject neighborhood and it was very similar to the subject in dwelling size but lacks a garage. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$2.54 per square foot of living area, which falls within the range established by the comparables in this record and well supported by the most similar comparable. After examining the evidence presented by the parties and considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
C. R.	asort Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 18, 2021
	111.10)16
	Manon
	Clark of the Decree to Tare Association

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

Warren Orange, by attorney: Robert Rosenfeld Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC 33 North Dearborn Street Suite 1850 Chicago, IL 60602

## **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602