



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: James Corgel
DOCKET NO.: 17-40039.001-R-1 through 17-40039.002-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are James Corgel, the appellant, by attorney Robert Rosenfeld, of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
17-40039.001-R-1	14-32-215-007-0000	6,750	0	\$6,750
17-40039.002-R-1	14-32-215-008-0000	27,000	70,708	\$97,708

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of two parcels. Parcel #2 has a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 2,267 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 123 years old. Features of the home include a partial basement with finished area, central air conditioning and two fireplaces. Parcel #1 has a land assessment with no improvement assessment to the property. The subject's two parcels are located in Chicago, North Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant submitted a copy of the final decision of the Cook County Board of Review dated April 16, 2018 for the 2017 assessment year concerning the two parcels which depicts assessments of \$6,750 for Parcel #1 (PIN 04-32-215-007-0000) and \$97,708 for Parcel #2 (PIN 14-32-215-008-0000). The subject's two parcels have a combined total assessment of \$104,458.

The attorney for the appellant submitted its "Residential Appeal" with a "Comparable Sales/Assessment Grid Analysis", "Addendum to Petition" showing a separate listing of each individual parcel's land and improvement assessments, and a supplemental "Brief" from the appellant's attorney.

The appellant contends improvement assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal for Parcel #2 and did not contest the land assessments for either of the subject's two parcels. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with similar class code 2-06 dwellings of masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,748 to 3,126 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 69 to 127 years old. The comparables have partial or full basements, one of which has finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, one fireplace and a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$46,932 to \$65,532 or from \$15.01 to \$23.85 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment for Parcel #2 be reduced to \$45,816 or \$20.21 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for parcel #2 of \$97,708. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$70,708 or \$31.19 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 2,332 to 2,538 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 124 to 132 years old. Each comparable has a full basement, one of which has finished area. Two comparables have central air conditioning. Two comparables each have two fireplaces. Three comparables each have a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$74,258 to \$99,880 or from \$31.28 to \$39.35 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted seven suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables due to their newer age and/or larger dwelling sizes when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review's comparables as they are similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size and features; except three comparables have garages which the subject lacks, suggesting downward adjustments may be necessary to make them more comparable to the subject. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$74,258 to \$99,880 or from \$31.28 to \$39.35 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$70,708 or \$31.19 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences to the subject, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment based on inequity is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 18, 2021



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

James Corgel, by attorney:
Robert Rosenfeld
Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC
33 North Dearborn Street
Suite 1850
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602