



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Sofia Sofou
DOCKET NO.: 17-39132.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 27-30-207-008-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Sofia Sofou, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$6,750
IMPR.: \$32,554
TOTAL: \$39,304

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction containing 2,619 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 21 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a three-car garage. The property has an 11,250 square foot site and is located in Orland Park, Orland Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted an appraisal prepared by William P. Neberieza estimating the subject property had a market value of \$330,000 as of January 1, 2017.

The appraiser utilized the sales comparison approach to arrive at the appraisal's opinion. Neberieza analyzed three comparables located from .88 of a mile to 5.25-miles from the subject. The comparables were relatively similar to the subject in lot size, design, age, foundation and most features, but had some differences in dwelling size and garage size when compared to the subject. These three comparables sold from February to November 2016 for prices ranging from \$350,000 to \$370,000 or from \$103.43 to \$111.68 per square foot of living area, including land. The appraiser made adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject for the number of bathrooms, dwelling size and/or garage size. From this process, Neberieza set forth adjusted sales prices ranging from \$312,800 to \$330,300 and opined a value for the subject of \$330,000 or \$126.00 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested an assessment reflective of the appraised value conclusion at the 10% level of assessment for class 2 property.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$39,304. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$393,040 or \$150.07 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject and within ¼ of a mile of the subject. The comparables were similar class 2-78 two-story dwellings of similar lot size, exterior construction, age, dwelling size, foundation and/or most features as the subject. These comparables sold from July 2014 to July 2016 for prices ranging from \$375,000 to \$405,000 or from \$131.26 to \$165.85 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant submitted an appraisal of the subject property and the board of review submitted four comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to board of review comparables #2, #3 and #4 as these sales occurred in 2014, a date more remote in time to the valuation date at issue of January 1, 2017 and less likely to be indicative of market value as of the lien date than other sales in the record that occurred closer to the lien date.

The Board has given some weight to the appraisal submitted by the appellant along with board of review comparable sale #1. The appraisal opines a value for the subject of \$330,000 or \$126.00 per square foot of living area, including land, based upon reliance of dwellings that were each from 21% to 26% larger than the subject, although the appraiser made downward adjustments for this variance in dwelling size. The appraiser's adjustments were significant ranging from \$34,700 to \$45,500 for dwelling size differences alone. The appraisal sale comparables were also each distant from the subject. In contrast, board of review comparable #1 was located within ¼ of a mile of the subject and similar in age, size and other features to the subject. This comparable sold in July 2016 for \$400,000 or for \$157.11 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$393,040 or \$150.07 per square foot of living area, including land, which is between the appraised value conclusion and below the best comparable sale in the record that was most proximate to the subject property.

Based on this evidence and after analyzing the available market value evidence in the record, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 20, 2021



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Sofia Sofou, by attorney:
George N. Reveliotis
Reveliotis Law, P.C.
1030 Higgins Road
Suite 101
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602