

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: David Latanski
DOCKET NO.: 17-39013.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 27-22-207-077-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are David Latanski, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$2,925 **IMPR.:** \$22,174 **TOTAL:** \$25,099

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,851 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 23 years old. Features of the home include a full basement with a formal recreation room, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a two-car garage. The property has a 7,800 square foot site and is located in Orland Hills, Orland Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-04 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on eight comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with class 2-04, one-story dwellings of masonry, frame, or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,842 to 2,196 square feet of living area and range in age

from 25 to 57 years old. Four comparables have partial or full unfinished basements, four comparables have concrete slab foundations, five comparables have central air conditioning, four comparables each have a fireplace, and each comparable has a 1.5-car, a 2-car, or a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$13,725 to \$23,308 or from \$7.41 to \$11.12 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$16,882 or \$9.12 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$25,099. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$22,174 or \$11.98 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same assessment neighborhood code as the subject. Three comparables are located on the same block as the subject. The comparables are improved with class 2-04, one-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 1,878 to 1,973 square feet of living area and range in age from 19 to 26 years old. The comparables have partial or full unfinished basements. Two comparables have central air conditioning, three comparables each have a fireplace, and each comparable has a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$23,092 to \$24,919 or from \$12.30 to \$12.63 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains twelve equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to appellant's comparables #2 through #8 due to their differences in age and/or dwelling size when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparable #1 and the board of review comparables which are similar to the subject in location, age, dwelling size and some features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$20,941 to \$24,919 or from \$11.12 to \$12.63 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$22,174 or \$11.98 per square foot of living area, which is within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this evidence, the Board finds the appellant did not prove by clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement assessment was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment was not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
C. R.	asort Staffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	October 19, 2021
	111.1016
	Mano
	Clade of the Donnertee Tree Association of

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

## PARTIES OF RECORD

#### **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

#### **APPELLANT**

David Latanski, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602