



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: James Davidson
DOCKET NO.: 17-36231.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 27-02-214-008-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are James Davidson, the appellant(s), by attorney Patrick J. Doherty, of Farano Wallace & Doherty in Palos Hills; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 8,277
IMPR.: \$ 52,137
TOTAL: \$ 60,414

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board (the "Board") finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry construction with 3,926 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 16 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a three-car garage. The property's site is 15,050 square feet, and it is located in Orland Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-08 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. No evidence was submitted as to whether the subject is owner-occupied.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to \$46,398.

The board of review submitted its “Board of Review Notes on Appeal” disclosing that the total assessment for the subject is \$60,414. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$52,137, or \$13.28 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables. The board of review’s evidence also states that the subject was purchased in July 2014 for \$437,000. The board of review also submitted a supplemental brief arguing that the sale of the subject was a compulsory sale, and therefore, the sale was not an arm’s-length transaction which would accurately represent the subject’s fair cash value. In support of this argument, the board of review submitted a printout from the Cook County Recorder of Deeds’ website showing that a *lis pendens* was filed on the subject by Deutsche Bank against Steven Liebfried on November 17, 2010, that the Intercounty Judicial Sales Corporation conveyed the subject to Deutsche Bank via a judicial sale deed filed on October 15, 2013, and that Deutsche Bank conveyed the subject to the appellant via a special warranty deed filed on September 3, 2014. The board of review also submitted a copy of FirstMerit Bank N.A. v. Bridgeview Bank, 2016 IL App (2d) 150364-U. The board of review asserts that this case stands for the proposition that:

[w]here the plaintiff in the foreclosure action is the high bidder at the judicial sale of the foreclosed property, the transaction is not an arm’s-length transaction. Thus, although the price paid by a willing buyer to a willing seller is generally a sound indication of an item’s value when the sale is at arm’s-length—see Walsh v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 181 Ill.2d 228, 230 (1998)—it would be error to use this measure in a situation in which the plaintiff controlled both the offer and the acceptance and thus could set any price it liked.

Id. at ¶ 39.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proven by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof, and that a reduction in the subject’s assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant’s equity comparable #3, and board of review equity comparables #2 and #4. These equity comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$12.24 to \$13.72 per square foot of living area. The subject’s improvement assessment of \$13.28 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant has not proven, with clear and convincing evidence, that the subject is inequitably assessed, and that a reduction in the subject’s assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 20, 2021



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

James Davidson, by attorney:
Patrick J. Doherty
Patrick J. Doherty, LLC.
7826 W. 103rd Street
Palos Hills, IL 60465

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602