

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Cyrous Hashemian
DOCKET NO.: 17-35556.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-30-208-019-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Cyrous Hashemian, the appellant(s); and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,125 **IMPR.:** \$15,573 **TOTAL:** \$19,698

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is a 90 year-old, one-story dwelling of frame construction containing 1,151 square feet of living area. Features of the subject include a full finished basement and a two-car garage. The property has a 3,750 square foot site in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a closing statement disclosing the subject property was purchased on June 29, 2017 for \$141,200. The seller was Wilmington Trust, NA, successor trustee to Citibank, N.A., as Trustee f/b/o holders of Structured Asset Mortgage Investments. The subject's sale price reflects a market value of \$122.68 per square foot of living area including land. The appellant provided

information in Section IV–Recent Sale Data of the Residential Appeal that the subject was not transferred between related parties; and was sold by a realtor. The appellant failed to disclose in Section IV how the sale was settled. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price when applying the 2017 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.¹

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$19,698. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$196,980, or \$171.14 per square foot of living area, when applying the 2017 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four suggested comparable properties that ranged from 1,070 to 1,200 square feet of living area including land. These sold from September 2014 through September 2016 for prices ranging from \$205.88 to \$250.85 per square foot of living area including land. The board of review also cited the 2017 sale of the subject for \$141,200.

The appellant submitted a rebuttal brief in which he argued that the comparable properties submitted as evidence by the board of review should be given diminished weight because they were dissimilar to the subject in various key property characteristics. The appellant stated in his rebuttal brief that the subject was a "forecloser [sic] house." The appellant reaffirmed the request for an assessment reduction.

At hearing, the appellant reiterated his argument of overvaluation based on a recent sale. The appellant highlighted that the subject had been offered for sale on the open market. The appellant sought to introduce additional, new evidence of the history of sales of the subject. The Administrative Law Judge sustained the board of review's objection based on hearsay and on the introduction of new evidence not previously disclosed. The appellant admitted under oath that the subject was purchased as foreclosure property.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

In addressing the appellant's market value argument, the Board finds that the sale of the subject in June 2017 for \$141,200 is a "compulsory sale." The appellant confirmed under oath that the

¹ The appellant confirmed at hearing that he did not raise an overvaluation argument based on an appraisal. Although he checked the box raising that issue, he also drew a line through it to indicate that he did not raise that issue.

subject was sold as foreclosure property. The documentary evidence revealed that the seller was a financial institution and was a trustee for mortgage investments. A "compulsory sale" is defined as:

(i) the sale of real estate for less than the amount owed to the mortgage lender or mortgagor, if the lender or mortgagor has agreed to the sale, commonly referred to as a "short sale" and (ii) the first sale of real estate owned by a financial institution as a result of a judgment of foreclosure, transfer pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure, or consent judgment, occurring after the foreclosure proceeding is complete.

35 ILCS 200/1-23.

Real property in Illinois must be assessed at its fair cash value, which can only be estimated absent any compulsion on either party.

Illinois law requires that all real property be valued at its fair cash value, estimated at the price it would bring at a fair voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is likewise ready, willing, and able to buy, but is not forced to do so.

Bd. of Educ. of Meridian Cmty. Unit Sch. Dist. No. 223 v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 961 N.E. 2d 794, 802 (2d Dist. 2011) (citing Chrysler Corp. v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 69 Ill. App. 3d 207, 211 (2d Dist. 1979)).

The Board may consider market value evidence, such as sales of comparable properties submitted by the parties, to determine whether the subject was sold at fair cash value. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c)(4); *See* Calumet Transfer LLC v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 401 Ill.App.3d 652 (1st Dist. 2010).

The appellant did not submit comparables or other evidence to establish that the sale of the subject was for fair cash value. The board of review submitted sale comparables that contained property characteristics similar with the subject. Comparables #2, #3 and #4 are most similar with the subject and sold from September 2014 through September 2016 for prices ranging from \$205.88 to \$250.85 per square foot of living area including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$171.14 per square foot of living area including land, which is below the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. The subject's sale price of \$141,200, or \$122.68 per square foot of living area including land is below the range established by the market data.

In determining the fair cash value of the subject property and all relevant factors, the Board finds that the appellant did not submit sufficient evidence to show the subject was overvalued. Therefore, the Board finds that the appellant has not met its burden by a preponderance of the evidence and that the subject does not warrant a reduction based upon the market data submitted into evidence.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

	Chairman
21. Fe	C. R.
Member	Member
assert Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>CERTIFICATION</u>	
As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.	
Date:	February 18, 2020

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Mauro Illorios

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Cyrous Hashemian 4427 Shabbona Lane Lisle, IL 60532

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602