

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Rita Restivo

DOCKET NO.: 17-35328.001-R-1 through 17-35328.002-R-1

PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Rita Restivo, the appellant, by attorney Scott L. David, of Much Shelist, in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
17-35328.001-R-1	24-04-322-001-0000	2,561	7,460	\$10,021
17-35328.002-R-1	24-04-322-002-0000	1,718	7,460	\$9,178

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 1,629 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 70 years old. Features of the home include a full basement with a formal recreation room, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and a 2.5-car garage. The property has a 7,783 square foot site and is located in Oak Lawn, Worth Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant originally filed an appeal marking the basis of appeal as recent appraisal along with a request for an extension of time to submit evidence. The appellant's subsequent submission of evidence lacked the necessary basis of appeal (35 ILCS 200/16-180; 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.30(j)) but provided a brief along with four comparable properties with equity data, two of which had dated sales information. In the absence of sufficient recent market value evidence to assert overvaluation of the subject property (86 Ill.Admin.Code

§1910.65(c)(4)), the Board will analyze this appeal based on the brief and evidence wherein the appellant implies assessment inequity as the basis of this appeal.

In support of the inequity argument the appellant submitted information on four comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables consist of either 1-story or 1.5-story class 2-03 dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction. The homes range in age from 64 to 67 years old and range in size from 1,563 to 1,776 square feet of living area. Two comparables have either a full or partial basement, one of which has a recreation room, and two comparables have either slab or crawl-space foundations. Three dwellings have central air conditioning, one dwelling has two fireplaces and each comparable has either a two-car or a three-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$12,089 to \$13,894 or from \$7.34 to \$7.85 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant as set forth in the Addendum requested a reduced total improvement assessment of \$12,429 or \$7.63 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal." The appellant's Addendum submission disclosed the total assessment for the two parcels that comprise the subject property to be \$19,199. The subject property has a total improvement assessment of \$14,920 or \$9.16 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property and either in the same block or within ¼ of a mile of the subject. The comparables consist of either 1-story or 1.5-story class 2-03 dwellings of masonry exterior construction. The homes range in age from 69 to 88 years old and range in size from 1,274 to 1,622 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full basement, one of which has a formal recreation room. One comparable has central air conditioning, three of the comparables each have a fireplace and each comparable has a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$13,683 to \$16,677 or from \$9.74 to \$10.77 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight comparable properties to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The board of review only reported that assessment of one of the two parcels that comprise the subject property.

comparables #2 and #3 due to differences in foundation type when compared to the subject's full basement with a formal recreation room.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #1 and #4 along with the board of review comparables. These comparables have varying degrees of similarity to the subject in location, age, size and some features. These comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$12,848 to \$16,677 or from \$7.60 to \$10.77 per square foot of living area. The subject's total improvement assessment of \$14,920 or \$9.16 per square foot of living area falls within the range of the best comparables in this record both in terms of overall assessment and on a per-square-foot basis. After considering necessary adjustments to the best comparables to make them more equivalent to the subject for differences, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	L. Fer
<del></del>	Chairman
a R	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## **CERTIFICATION**

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	July 20, 2021
	111.1016
	Mano

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

# PARTIES OF RECORD

## **AGENCY**

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

# **APPELLANT**

Rita Restivo, by attorney: Scott L. David Much Shelist 191 North Wacker Drive Suite 1800 Chicago, IL 60606-1615

# **COUNTY**

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602