

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Andrea Aguilar & Melesio Olivares

DOCKET NO.: 17-33933.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 24-10-407-053-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Andrea Aguilar & Melesio Olivares, the appellants, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$3,410 **IMPR.:** \$22,752 **TOTAL:** \$26,162

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction with 2,030 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 45 years old. Features of the home include a partial basement with finished area and a 2-car garage. The property has a 6,200 square foot site and is located in Oak Lawn, Worth Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation and assessment inequity as the bases of the appeal. The appellant's land assessment was not contested. In support of the overvaluation argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables were improved with similar class 2-78 dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,040 to 3,550

square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 32 to 52 years old. The comparables have sites ranging in size from 7,680 to 13,500 square feet of land area. Three comparables have a partial or full unfinished basement and one comparable has a concrete slab foundation. Three comparables have central air conditioning, two comparables have a fireplace and each comparable has a 2-car garage. The comparables sold from January 2016 to August 2017 for prices ranging from \$223,000 to \$420,000 or from \$103.05 to \$118.63 per square foot of living area, land included.

In support of the inequity argument the appellant submitted four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code and within 0.47 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables were improved with two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that ranged in size from 2,070 to 2,534 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 45 to 59 years old. Each comparable has a partial or full basement with three having finished area, three comparables have central air conditioning, one comparable has a fireplace and each comparable has a 1.5-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$20,245 to \$24,714 or from \$9.48 to \$10.20 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's assessment be reduced.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$26,162. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$261,620 or \$128.88 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$22,752 or \$11.21 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on eight comparables with seven comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject.¹ The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in size from 2,002 to 2,155 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 45 to 60 years old. The comparables have sites that range in size from 5,967 to 7,980 square feet of land area. Seven comparables have a partial or full basement with four comparables having finished area and one comparable has a crawl space foundation. Each comparable has central air conditioning, three comparables have a fireplace and each comparable has a two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$22,854 to \$28,740 or from \$11.31 to \$13.37 per square foot of living area. Comparables #5 through #8 sold from November 2015 to July 2017 for prices ranging from \$269,500 to \$349,000 or from \$131.59 to \$162.78 per square foot of living area, land included. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends in part that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e).

¹ Board of review second set of four comparables were renumbered as comparables #5 through #8.

Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #1 along with board of review comparable #6 based on a lack of a basement when compared to the subject. The board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #2 and #4 due to its larger land size and/or dwelling size when compared to the subject. The Board gave less weight to board of review comparable #5 based on its location when compared to the subject. The Board gave less weight to the board of review comparable #8 as this property sold in November 2015, which is dated and less likely to be indicative of fair market value as of the subject's January 1, 2017 assessment date.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparable sale #3 along with the board of review comparable sale #7. These comparables have varying degrees of similarity in site size, age, dwelling size and features. These comparables sold for prices of \$258,138 and \$310,000 or \$118.63 and \$144.25 per square foot of living area, including land, respectively. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$261,620 or \$128.88 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls between the best comparable sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparable sales for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by the assessment is supported. Based on this evidence the Board finds no reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

Alternatively, the taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted 12 equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable #3 based in its larger dwelling size and board of review comparable #6 based on a lack of a basement when compared to the subject. The Board gave less weight to board of review's comparables #1, #3 and #5 based on lack of finish in basement when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #1, #2 and #4 along with the board of review comparables #2, #4, #7 and #8. These comparables have varying degrees of similarity in age, dwelling size and features. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$20,245 to \$28,740 or from \$9.48 to \$13.37 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$22,752 or \$11.21 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this

record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

| 22 | 1. Fem |
|-------------|----------------|
| | Chairman |
| R | assert Stoffen |
| Member | Member |
| Dan Dikini | Swah Bokley |
| Member | Member |
| DISSENTING: | |

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

| Date: | May 18, 2021 |
|-------|---|
| | 111.10)16 |
| | Manon |
| | Clark of the Decree to Tare Association |

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Andrea Aguilar & Melesio Olivares, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602