



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Tom Topalis
DOCKET NO.: 17-33881.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 03-26-405-006-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Tom Topalis, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **a reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,184
IMPR.: \$19,816
TOTAL: \$24,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a multi-level dwelling of frame and masonry construction with 1,445 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 54 years old. Features of the home include a finished partial basement and a 2-car garage. The property has an 8,369 square foot site and is located in Mount Prospect, Wheeling Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-34 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables had lots ranging in size from 8,450 to 9,880 square feet of land area that were improved with class 2-34 dwellings of frame or frame and masonry construction. The homes ranged in size from 1,152 to 1,528 square feet of living area and ranged in age from 51 to 56 years old. The comparables had other features with varying

degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables sold from January 2016 to September 2017 for prices ranging from \$161,000 to \$250,000 or from \$129.62 to \$175.71 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$21,989.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$26,345. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$263,450 or \$182.31 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable properties that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables had lots ranging in size from 8,684 to 9,896 square feet of land area that were improved with multi-level dwellings of frame and masonry construction. The homes ranged in size from 1,329 to 1,430 square feet of living area and ranged in age from 47 to 55 years old. The comparables had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. Comparable #1 sold in December 2014 for \$245,000 or \$175.88 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted a total of five comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the board of review's comparable sale due to its sale occurring greater than 24 months prior to the January 1, 2017 assessment date at issue. The Board finds the appellant's sales were similar to the subject in location, style, age and most features. These comparables also sold proximate in time to the January 1, 2017 assessment date at issue. They sold for prices ranging from \$161,000 to \$250,000 or from \$129.62 to \$175.71 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$263,450 or \$182.31 per square foot of living area, including land, which is above the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparable sales for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's higher assessment is not justified. Based on this evidence the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

March 16, 2021



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Tom Topalis, by attorney:
George N. Reveliotis
Reveliotis Law, P.C.
1030 Higgins Road
Suite 101
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602