



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Mario Parrilli
DOCKET NO.: 17-33873.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 12-24-223-051-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mario Parrilli, the appellant(s), by attorney Ciarra Schmidt, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,501
IMPR.: \$31,422
TOTAL: \$35,923

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 4,092 parcel of land with two improvements. Improvement #1 is a 98-year old, one-story, frame, single-family dwelling containing 690 square feet of building area while improvement #2 is a 69-year old, one and one-half story, stucco, multi-family dwelling containing 1,705 square feet of building area. The property is located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County and is classified as a class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted five comparables for each improvement. For improvement #1, the comparables are described as one or one and one-half story, frame, single-family dwellings. They range: in age from 63 to 92 years; in size from 828 to 999 square feet of building area; and in improvement assessment from \$10.89 to 13.86 per square foot of building area. The

comparables for improvement #2 are described as one or one and one-half or two-story, masonry or frame or frame and masonry, multi-family dwellings. They range: in age from 53 to 97 years; in size from 1,674 to 2,030 square feet of building area; and in improvement assessment from \$9.01 to \$10.73 per square foot of building area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's total assessment of \$36,923. Improvement #1 has an allocated improvement assessment of \$11,052 or \$16.02 per square foot of building area while improvement #2 has an allocated improvement assessment of \$20,370 or \$11.95 per square foot of building area.

In support of the current assessments, the board of review submitted four comparables for each improvement. For improvement #1, the comparables are described as one-story, frame, single-family dwellings. They range: in age from 89 to 92 years; in size from 600 to 675 square feet of building area; and in improvement assessment from \$19.05 to 23.93 per square foot of building area. The comparables for improvement #2 are described as two-story, frame, multi-family dwellings. They range: in age from 91 to 94 years; in size from 1,470 to 1,690 square feet of building area; and in improvement assessment from \$13.44 to \$15.52 per square foot of building area.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b).

As to improvement #1, the Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review's comparables. These comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$19.05 to \$23.93 per square foot of building area. The remaining comparables were given diminished weight for differences in size. The subject's allocated improvement assessment of each improvement of \$16.02 per square foot of building area is below the range of the best comparables in this record.

As to improvement #2, the Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellant's comparable #2 and the board of review's comparables #2 and #3. These comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$9.35 to \$14.95 per square foot of building area. The remaining comparables were given diminished weight for differences in construction or size. The subject's allocated improvement assessment of each improvement of \$11.95 per square foot of building area is within the range of the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's improvements is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

May 18, 2021



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Mario Parrilli, by attorney:
Ciarra Schmidt
Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.
111 West Washington Street
Suite 1300
Chicago, IL 60602

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602