



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: George J. Andros III  
DOCKET NO.: 17-33841.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 24-02-317-052-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are George J. Andros III, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **a reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$2,728  
**IMPR.:** \$13,047  
**TOTAL:** \$15,775

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of masonry construction with 1,252 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 63 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a two-car garage. The property has a 4,960 square foot site and is located in Evergreen Park, Worth Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on both overvaluation and assessment equity. In support of the overvaluation argument the appellant submitted a grid analysis containing four comparable sales that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables had lots ranging in size from 4,960 to 5,580 square feet of land area and were improved with class 2-03 dwellings of masonry construction. The homes ranged in size from 1,117 to 1,692

square feet of living area and ranged in age from 58 to 63 years old. The comparables had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables sold from October 2015 to April 2017 for prices ranging from \$137,500 to \$202,000 or from \$111.26 to \$126.75 per square foot of living area, including land.

In support of the assessment inequity argument with respect to the improvement, the appellant submitted a grid analysis containing eight comparable properties that were located within .24 of a mile from the subject and within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables were improved with one-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry construction that ranged in size from 1,242 to 1,482 square feet of living area and ranged in age from 50 to 88 years old. The comparables had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$12,510 to \$15,404 or from \$9.87 to \$10.91 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$15,039. The requested assessment would reflect a total market value of \$150,390 or \$120.12 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. The request would lower the subject's improvement assessment to \$12,311 or \$9.83 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$17,627. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$176,270 or \$140.79 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$14,899 or \$11.90 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable properties, one of which was also submitted by the appellant, that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. Three of the comparables were also located on the same block as the subject. The comparables had lots of 4,960 or 5,400 square feet of land area that were improved with one-story dwellings of masonry construction. The homes ranged in size from 1,117 to 1,192 square feet of living area and were either 61 or 62 years old. The comparables had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. Comparable #2 sold in July 2016 for \$137,500 or \$123.10 per square foot of living area, including land. The comparable properties had improvement assessments ranging from \$16,203 to \$16,649 or from \$13.73 to \$14.66 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends in part that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale,

comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted a total of four comparable sales for the Board's consideration, which included the parties' common comparable. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable sales #2 and #3, due to their sale dates occurring greater than 13 months prior to the January 1, 2017 assessment date at issue. The Board finds the best sales in the record were the appellant's comparable sales #1 and #4, which includes the board of review's comparable #2. These comparables were most similar to the subject in location, style, age, size and most features. These comparables also sold proximate in time to the January 1, 2017 assessment date at issue. The best comparables sold in July 2016 and April 2017 for prices of \$137,500 and \$164,900 or for \$123.10 and \$126.75 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$176,270 or \$140.79 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls above the market values of the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified based on overvaluation.

The taxpayer also contends assessment inequity as an alternative basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted based on assessment inequity.

The parties submitted improvement assessment information for twelve comparable properties that were located in the subject's neighborhood code. The Board finds all of the comparables submitted by the parties were very similar to the subject in location, style, size and features. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$12,510 to \$16,649 or from \$9.87 to \$14.66 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment, after the reduction given for overvaluation, of \$13,047 or \$10.42 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the improvement comparables in this record and a further reduction on the grounds of lack of uniformity is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 16, 2021



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois  
Property Tax Appeal Board  
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402  
401 South Spring Street  
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

George J. Andros III, by attorney:  
George N. Reveliotis  
Reveliotis Law, P.C.  
1030 Higgins Road  
Suite 101  
Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review  
County Building, Room 601  
118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60602