

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Zbigniew Kryzsiak DOCKET NO.: 17-33814.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 24-23-307-024-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Zbigniew Kryzsiak, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$2,540 **IMPR.:** \$4,961 **TOTAL:** \$7,501

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame exterior construction with 520 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 71 years old. Features of the home include a crawl space foundation and a 1-car garage. The property has a 6,350 square foot site located in Garden Homes, Worth Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-02 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellants contend assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellants submitted information on seven equity comparables that are located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of frame or masonry exterior construction ranging in size from 580 to 782 square feet of living area. The comparables range in age from 62 to 78 years old. One comparable has an unfinished basement and six comparables have either a

concrete slab or crawl space foundation. Four comparables have central air conditioning¹. Five comparables have a 1-car to a 2.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$4,577 to \$7,030 or from \$5.85 to \$9.58 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$4,049 or \$7.79 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$8,021. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$5,481 or \$10.54 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables are improved with one-story dwellings of frame or frame and masonry exterior construction ranging from 476 or 672 square feet of living area. The comparables range in age from 25 to 92 years old. The comparables have full basements with two having finished area. Two comparables have a 1-car or a 1.5-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$6,134 to \$12,649 or from \$11.83 to \$18.82 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayers contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted eleven suggested comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellants' comparables #1, #3, #5, #6 and #7 and the board of review's comparables due to their full basements, larger dwelling sizes, dissimilar ages, lack of garages, and/or 1.5 -story design when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the appellants' comparable #2 and #4 as they are similar to the subject property in location, design, exterior construction, age, foundation and features, except each comparable is slightly larger in dwelling size than the subject. These comparables have improvement assessments of \$8.01 and \$9.26 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$10.54 is greater than the assessments of the best comparables in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences to the subject, the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment based on inequity is warranted.

¹The appellant's attorney reports "1" or "2" for central air conditioning within the grid analysis. The Board has determined "1" signifies "Yes" and "2" signifies "No" based upon the description of the subject property provided by the board of review.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fer
	Chairman
C. R.	Robert Stoffen
Member	Member
Dan Dikini	Sarah Schler
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 17, 2020
	Middle 1/2
	Clark of the December Town Assessed December

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Zbigniew Kryzsiak, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602