

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Ramiro Garcia
DOCKET NO.: 17-33813.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 24-03-128-070-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ramiro Garcia, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Park Ridge; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$1,900 **IMPR.:** \$11,906 **TOTAL:** \$13,806

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2017 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame construction with 1,248 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 64 years old. Features of the home include a crawl-space foundation, and a 1.5-car garage. The property has a 4,000 square foot site and is located in Hometown, Worth Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-10 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on both overvaluation and assessment equity with respect to the subject's improvement. In support of the overvaluation argument the appellant submitted a grid analysis containing four comparable sales that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables had lots ranging in size from 4,000 to 4,800 square feet of land area that were improved with class 2-10 dwellings of frame construction. The homes ranged in size from 1,055 to 1,454 square feet of living area and ranged in age from 64 to 67

years old. Three comparables had crawl-space foundations and one comparable had a slab foundation. The comparables had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables sold from August 2015 to April 2017 for prices ranging from \$95,000 to \$126,000 or from \$72.21 to \$104.31 per square foot of living area, including land.

In support of the assessment inequity argument, the appellant submitted a grid analysis containing eight comparable properties that were located within .15 of a mile from the subject and within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables were improved with one-story or two-story dwellings of frame construction that ranged in size from 1,276 to 1,586 square feet of living area and were 64 years old. The comparables had crawl-space foundations and had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$8,100 to \$13,793 or from \$5.93 to \$8.88 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$11,238. The requested assessment would reflect a total market value of \$112,380 or \$90.05 per square foot of living area, land included, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. The request would lower the subject's improvement assessment to \$9,338 or \$7.48 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$13,806. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$138,060 or \$110.63 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$11,906 or \$9.54 per square foot of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted a sales grid analysis and a separate equity grid analysis. The sales grid contained information on four comparable properties that were located within .25 of a mile from the subject and within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables had lots ranging in size from 4,387 to 7,320 square feet of land area that were improved with class 2-10 dwellings of frame construction. The homes ranged in size from 1,088 to 1,294 square feet of living area and ranged in age from 63 to 66 years old. Three comparables had crawl-space foundations and one comparable had a finished partial basement. The comparables had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables sold from September 2016 to August 2017 for prices ranging from \$159,000 to \$235,000 or from \$131.30 to \$181.61 per square foot of living area, including land.

The board of review's equity grid contained four comparable properties that were located on the same block as the subject and within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The comparables were improved with one-story dwellings of frame construction that ranged in size from 1,196 to 1,381 square feet of living area and were 64 years old. The comparables had crawl-space foundations and had other features with varying degrees of similarity to the subject. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$13,176 to \$13,577 or from \$9.72 to \$11.15 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence the board of review requested that the subject's assessment be confirmed.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends in part that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of eight suggested comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable sales #1 and #2 due to their dissimilar slab foundation and/or their sale date occurring greater than 14 months prior to the January 1, 2017 assessment date at issue. The Board also gave less weight to the board of review's comparable sale #1 due to its dissimilar finished partial basement, when compared to the subject. The Board finds the parties' remaining comparable sales were most similar to the subject in location, style, age, size and most features. These comparables also sold proximate in time to the January 1, 2017 assessment date at issue. The best sales occurred September 2016 to August 2017 for prices ranging from \$105,000 to \$235,000 or from \$72.21 to \$181.61 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$138,060 or \$110.63 per square foot of living area, including land, which falls within the range established by the best sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified based on overvaluation.

The taxpayer also contends improvement assessment inequity as an alternative basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted twelve equity comparable properties for the Board's consideration that were located within the subject's neighborhood code. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #2, #3, #5, #6, #7 and #8, due to their dissimilar two-story style dwelling and/or their larger sizes when compared to the subject. The Board finds the parties' remaining comparables were most similar to the subject in location, style, age, size and most features. The best comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$10,833 to \$13,577 or from \$8.49 to \$11.15 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$11,906 or \$9.54 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best equity comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not

demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment based on assessment uniformity is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

2	1. Fem
	Chairman
a R	Sobert Staffer
Member	Member
Dan De Kinin	Sarah Bokley
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	March 16, 2021
	14:10)1
	Man O
	Clark of the Donorate Tare Associated Description

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Ramiro Garcia, by attorney: George N. Reveliotis Reveliotis Law, P.C. 1030 Higgins Road Suite 101 Park Ridge, IL 60068

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602